


# Pandemic Red Pill



A Layman's Guide to  
The Great Awakening

David Macfarlane

# PANDEMIC RED PILL

A Layman's Guide to  
The Great Awakening

D.M.MACFARLANE

Copyright © 2021 D.M.Macfarlane

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The information, views, opinions and visuals expressed in this publication are solely those of the author(s) and do not reflect those of the publisher. The publisher disclaims any liabilities or responsibilities whatsoever for any damages, libel or liabilities arising directly or indirectly from the contents of this publication.

Author: Macfarlane, D. M.

Title: PANDEMIC RED PILL

ISBN: 9798488287099

Imprint: Independently published

*The art of war is the art of deception*

Sun Tzu

*Believe nothing  
no matter where you read it  
or who has said it  
not even if I have said it  
unless it agrees with your own reason  
and your own common sense*

Buddha

For the love of humanity

# CONTENTS

## PREFACE

1. HOW & WHY?
2. PANDEMIC?
3. EARLY SEPTEMBER 2021
4. LATE SEPTEMBER 2021
5. WAKING UP
6. THE GREAT AWAKENING
- 7 THE TIDE IS TURNING

# PREFACE

In the 1999 movie *The Matrix*, leader and teacher Morpheus gives Neo ('the chosen one') a choice. Take the blue pill and continue to live in a fictional world, with comforting lies, believing whatever you want to believe. Take the red pill; you will tumble down the rabbit hole, and you'll be offered truths and freedom. But there is no turning back.

Sure, it's just a movie, with an interesting metaphorical narrative. But a very relevant narrative. Because with access to the internet, an open mind, and a willingness to open your eyes and acknowledge what you actually see happening around you rather than what you're told to see, you have the opportunity to understand what's really going on in the world. And once you've seen it, you can't un-see it. There really is no turning back.

But the choice is yours. If you insist on believing what you're told by television, newspapers, and social media, you're choosing to take the blue pill. Good luck to you. You may or may not live a reasonably comfortable life, at least in the short term. But don't read this book, it will only make you angry. Because you can't handle the truth.

If you're brave enough to read on, and then curious enough to seek out information from alternative media on your own, you'll soon discover that all of the information you've been receiving through mainstream media (including Wikipedia, Google, Youtube, and their self-appointed 'fact checkers') is manipulated or heavily censored so that you only see news that supports the official narrative. Why is that? It's because if we're being lied to by those in control, the truth has to be hidden from us. But the truth always resonates, it makes sense. Whereas lies never do. They're inconsistent. They don't match reality. And they don't satisfy most people's inherent desire for common sense.

The truth might shock and upset you at first, but it will eventually set you free and help you live a life of integrity. And if enough people seek out the truth it will help bring an end to many, if not all, the atrocities and much of the needless suffering that currently infest this world. Things that we know are going on (we see them routinely on TV and in movies), but because

they don't really affect our own comfortable, pleasure-seeking, entertainment-distracted lives, we've never taken them seriously enough to do something about them or actually change our own behaviour.

You'll be challenged to accept that most of the people and the narratives you've believed all of your life are not what you've been told. When closely examined and judged by their actions rather than their own carefully-orchestrated narrative, the good guys turn out to be the bad guys; those that present themselves as descent and compassionate are the cruel and immoral. Those that talk of peace are warmongers, the anti-fascists the fascists, the anti-racists the racists, the lovers the haters, the communists the self-serving elite. They're nothing more than actors on a stage, playing the role they know you want to believe; a role that will make you feel good about yourselves, and get them what they want – adulation, money, and power.

Just as we know that Dustin Hoffman isn't really the autistic genius he played in *Rain Man*, we should be aware that a president playing the role of a kindly old grandad probably isn't. It's more likely he's a ruthless, manipulative psychopath; just like all the other politicians. Peter Beattie, one of Australia's most successful politicians, let the cat out of the bag years ago when in a candid interview shortly after his retirement he admitted that once you could *do* sincerity, politics wasn't that difficult. At least old age made him a little more honest.

And these people are masters at the art of psychological projection. Whatever they're doing, or planning to do, they accuse others of doing, so their opponents are always trapped in a defensive mode. There's a standard technique they use. Their lies are repeated endlessly, insistently, almost word for word on thousands of media outlets (which their masters own or control) throughout the world. Conflicting information is banned or, if mentioned at all, ridiculed as 'conspiracy theory'. By the time the truth has leaked out, weeks, months, or even years later, the narrative has already been established as fact in most people's minds and memories, and no amount of evidence will change it.



But many modern-day philosophers believe we are about to go through a *great awakening*; a physical, emotional, and spiritual transition of biblical proportions. And what might seem catastrophic in the short term – a destructive battle between good and evil, between truth and deceit – will eventually result in a reversal of the direction we’ve been heading for at least the last few hundred years, if not thousands; a direction that would have resulted in the total annihilation of humanity, the extinction event that many are always predicting.

This awakening can’t happen without first acknowledging the truth about what’s been happening in the world, what people have been doing, and what the results of their actions have been. Not *your* truth or *my* truth, but *the* truth. And when good prevails, we’ll enjoy a long period of peace and prosperity and live as we were always meant to live. Some astrologers believe this to be the dawning of the Age of Aquarius – ‘*when we can take control of the earth and become more mindful of human rights; with the destiny of humanity being the revelation of truth*’.

If you’re already thinking to yourself that this book will just be a bunch of outrageous opinions and unlikely theories, you’ve missed the point already. It’s best to think of it as a collection of observations made by someone who has sought out information that has been hidden from us: someone who has no incentive other than to expose or discover the truth, and encourages you to do the same. These days, the best research can be done online via uncensored video platforms such as Bitchute and Rumble, where you can see and hear for yourself the scientist, virologist, doctor, nurse, philosopher, or sociologist talking, and make your own judgement as to their character, credibility, and sincerity.

The main purpose of this book is to properly inform myself about some vitally important issues, partly because right now appears to be a particularly pivotal time in human evolution. But also because I’m simply curious by nature. And sceptical. What I’m being told by mainstream media, politicians, and society in general, stopped making sense years ago, especially in the last eighteen months. It no longer matches my own observations of reality.

Whether or not anyone else ever reads what I've written here is of little interest to me. I'm not a famous author, respected academic, or popular media personality with millions of 'followers'. I'm just an ordinary bloke in the midst of a pandemic lockdown with too much time on his hands. So who cares what I have to say? Well, I do.

Firstly, because I'm determined to find out what the heck is going on and I enjoy trying to understand and unravel complex things and explain them in simple (but hopefully not simplistic) terms.

And secondly, I don't want to waste my life being brainwashed into an irredeemable stupor by watching the nonsense spouted on TV and faithfully parroted by people as though it's their own well-considered opinion. Sorry if that sounds a bit harsh. But when you've taken the trouble to do some serious research, and have to listen to shallow lies endlessly repeated so often they become widely accepted as fact, it gets a little frustrating.

For anyone that does bother to read this, I encourage you to stop trying to escape reality by using the usual distractions. Turn off your TV, Netflix, and social media, open your eyes, do some research, be sceptical, make your own observations, and form your own conclusions. If nothing else, at least your opinions will then be based on a wider range of information than what you're currently being allowed to see. And like me, you may discover that reality is far more fascinating than fiction, and you'll be able to make better informed decisions.

This is being written in September 2021, and if I've been deceived by misinformation, and deluded by my own faulty logic or jaundiced view of the world, it will be evident within a couple of years at most. If nothing else, at least I'll have learnt something about myself. However, if it turns out to be mostly accurate, I will have helped myself correctly navigate a particularly difficult period in human history, and possibly even saved my own life. And if anyone else reads it, hopefully I'll have helped them do the same.

Many of you won't read beyond this preface. That's a shame, and part of the problem, but an understandable and perhaps necessary aspect of human nature. Even if you could show them unequivocal evidence that jumping

off a cliff everyone else is jumping off will kill them, many will refuse to look at that evidence and will follow the crowd rather than go against what everyone else is doing. They'd rather die than be ostracised by the society around them. We need people like that most of the time; otherwise society probably wouldn't be able to function as a cohesive unit.

But there are other times when we need people just the opposite – sceptical, contrarian, anti-authoritarian, and often painfully argumentative. I'm one of those people. And I'm pretty sure right now is one of those times.

# CHAPTER ONE

*When someone tells you that you have to take some medicine for the good of your health, or they'll punch you in the face, after the hundredth punch you can be pretty sure it's not about your health.*

## HOW & WHY?

Why are the authorities in every country around the world currently doing what they're doing? It's obviously not about public health, because everything they're doing is making people unhealthier. What are they really up to?

I'm not going to beat around the bush. So I'll start with a big statement. One that will sound totally outrageous to those who get all their information from mainstream media: This pandemic is a carefully orchestrated hoax driven by deliberate misinformation, ignorance, and fear. And the consequent lockdowns and forced vaccination program is the most horrendous crime against humanity ever committed. When the truth is eventually revealed, it will make the Holocaust look like a village garden party with poisoned punch. It is evil beyond most people's imagination.

But it's only the tip of the iceberg.

If they're prepared to think about it at all instead of instantly dismissing such an absurd suggestion, most people's first reaction to that statement is; why would anyone do such a thing and how could we possibly let it happen? So I'll explore those questions first.

Why would anyone plan, implement, support, or even comply with such a horrendous crime? What possible motive could they have, and why would so many people cooperate with something so blatantly destructive and evil? Well, how far do you want to go down the rabbit hole? How deep are you prepared to dig?

At the most basic level, there are plenty of people who don't really take much notice of what's going on in the world – it's all too complicated, and way beyond their pay grade as far as they're concerned. They'll just do

what they're told, get on with things, and suffer the consequences, without ever understanding why. They could be seen as innocent victims, guilty only of not paying attention. This also includes most of the professional class – people who have qualified for their profession by following rules and obeying authority. In fact one of the secrets of their success is that they don't waste time or energy resisting or complaining about rules. They figure out ways to work with them. To be fair, most of them don't really have much of a choice. They've got good jobs and mortgages and families to care for. Even if they genuinely suspected something sinister was going on, they'd prefer not to hear about it. Mainly because they think they're better off just hanging on to what they've got, trusting the authorities, and hoping for the best. It might not feel right, but they don't allow themselves to stop and think about it, or do any research beyond what they read in their trusted newspapers. They've got busy lives to live.

There's also another group of people who have been quite happy to go along with these lockdowns. The ones who hate their jobs anyway. They get to spend their time on the couch binging on takeaway and Netflix while receiving pretty much the same pay from government handouts as they would at their boring jobs. And the TV tells them they're 'doing the right thing' by self-isolating. How good is that? And of course there are those in the 'laptop class'. They've still got their well-paid jobs, and whatever it is they do, they do it all on a laptop. During a lockdown they can do it in their pyjamas while watching Netflix. They've got no complaints.

There are others motivated by an overwhelming desire to be seen as caring and virtuous; a common trait of narcissism in today's social-media-driven society. But wanting to be seen as caring and virtuous is very different to actually being caring and virtuous. It doesn't need a lot of information, or an analysis of actual results achieved, so these people are easily deployed to support a pandemic lockdown. This is humanity at its most easily manipulated sheep-like level of existence. The timid are passive and compliant, while the more assertive become the 'karens' of this world, who love crises and pandemics. They get to order people around while at the same time publicly displaying their own virtue.

At the second level are the people motivated by power, money, or adulation (and possibly all three). Let's face it, this pandemic has been wonderful for a certain segment of society, especially our ruling 'elite' and 'stars' of mainstream media. For the cost of a few minor inconveniences, they've never felt more important and never had so much power and authority. Their income has remained the same or even increased, their luxurious homes in leafy suburbs have skyrocketed in value, the streets have been quieter, crowds non-existent, and they get to sanctimoniously display their moral superiority by publicly denigrating those less fortunate who dare to complain and 'don't follow the rules'. Life couldn't be better. This level also includes the thousands of entrepreneurs, consultants, middlemen, and grifting politicians who've made a fortune out of contracts to supply the signs, security services, quarantine facilities, and personal protection equipment required to satisfy all the new rules of a pandemic lockdown. And don't forget the secretly-psychopathic law enforcement officers who've suddenly got the power they always yearned for. Life for them has never been more exciting.

The third level is a group of people and organizations with enough of a common goal to be all working together to take things in the same direction. Perhaps a bit like a dozen terrorists getting together to hijack a plane to Cuba. One of them might be escaping criminal charges in his own country, another might be planning to join his family, and yet another may just like the idea of life on a beach sipping pina coladas. They've all got their own reasons. Just like a whole group of ideologues who all want to destroy the racist, patriarchal, capitalist Western civilization they live off yet despise.

These are the 'social justice warriors' who inhabit organizations such as Antifa, BLM, and Extinction Rebellion. They very-effectively use social-media intimidation and street violence to bring about the changes they demand, with influence way out of proportion to the actual numbers they represent. They're often financed by organizations with far more sinister objectives, who think of them as 'useful idiots'.

They're dangerous simply because few people have the courage to stand up to them. They're focussed on destroying the things they hate, yet don't have the technical knowhow, experience, or wisdom to figure out any workable alternatives. And they've been so heavily infiltrated by the transgender movement that many of them can't even figure out if they're male or female, let alone solve the problems of modern civilization. As one modern philosopher has opined, letting these angry, delusional children redesign something as unbelievably complex and mysterious as human civilization would be like giving a bunch of monkeys a wrench and expecting them to rebuild a helicopter. Social justice warriors love the destructive force of this pandemic. They get to wear masks, cause havoc, and burn things down.

The fourth level is where things start to get a little sinister. It's like a highly educated professional version of the people and organizations in the previous level; mainly because they're also ideologues who are convinced they know how to make the world a better place, and don't mind destroying it first. But unlike the previous group, they've really thought things through, have made intricate plans, and wield an enormous amount of influence and power through all levels of society, industry, and government.

These are the globalists. They believe in a socialist or communist style one-world government; which presumably they would operate through the United Nations, and include organizations such as the World Bank, International Monetary Fund, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, and World Health Organization.

They meet at grand events such as the Davos World Economic Forum, the World Government Summit, and the United Nations Climate Change Conference, where these unelected bureaucrats and technocrats make their plans for the world's future as they see it. When you listen to their enthusiastic promotion of everything to do with this pandemic, it appears to be one of their plans. It's certainly given them a wonderful opportunity (in their own words) for a 'great reset', in order to 'build back better'. And create their 'new world order'.

According to the World Economic Forum, this new world order also embraces transhumanism – the merger of man and machine. – as part of the fourth industrial revolution. *‘Our bodies will be so high tech we won’t be able to really distinguish between what’s natural and what’s artificial.’* Obviously they have no intention of asking the bulk of the world’s population if that’s the sort of future they want. Critics of this group sum up their apparent plan as follows: *The pandemic is to force you to get the vaccine. The vaccine is to force you to get the vaccine passport. The vaccine passport is to force you to get the social credit system. The social credit system is to force you into obeying the government.*

The fifth level is where it starts to get seriously sinister. But it’s not that complicated. Big Pharma – a massive, hugely-influential industry. Create a problem (or at least the illusion of a problem), invent the solution, suppress alternative solutions by gaining control of the World Health Organization and mainstream media, and promote the idea of regular, mandated inoculation of your solution, globally. Big money. Huge profits. Forever. That’s an obviously evil but understandable motive. After all, everyone knows that the love of money is the root of all evil. And all of us are easily tempted by money. We understand greed.

So that brings us to the sixth level, which is even more sinister. China. A communist country which has been telling us for years that their ambition is global domination within the next generation. They never explained just how they intended to do this, and it may be a little naive to assume they would do it ethically and without violence. And like the Big Pharma motive, it doesn’t seem that complicated. Develop a virus. Release it on the world. Create a narrative that you prevented it spreading throughout China by implementing draconian lockdown measures. Encourage the rest of the world to do the same by controlling the World Health Organization and mainstream media. Wait and watch. Within a few years every other country in the world will be desperate and bankrupt. When they’re begging for help, China lends those countries money. It then owns, or at least



controls them all. Forcing other countries into debt is a well-known method of economic imperialism that both the United States, Britain, and in fact all empires have practiced successfully for centuries in various countries around the world, especially those with valuable resources. China may have just done it to the entire world.

The seventh level is too deep a rabbit hole for most of us to even begin to unravel. But there is plenty of evidence to suggest that there's a cabal of powerful families or 'bloodlines' of people (the royal families, Rothschilds, and Rockefellers are names that pop up constantly; plus the Vatican) who – through control of the world's banking systems – have held so much power, for so long, that they can even manipulate countries as big and powerful as China. Essentially operating as a global 'shadow government' they've been creating and orchestrating events such as world wars and pandemics, and manipulating elections to their own advantage for centuries.

And there's nothing new about bioweapons. They've been used throughout history to conquer and sometimes completely destroy cities, countries, and even whole civilizations. There's written evidence that in 1493 the Spanish king and the Pope conspired to kill off 90% of the population of the Americas by deliberately releasing diseases such as smallpox. Members of this cabal have been so emotionally and psychologically disconnected from common people, through so many generations, that they view the bulk of humanity as nothing more than cattle or sheep to be herded and culled as required. They have their own reasons for wanting a 'great reset', and a pandemic is the perfect weapon; much less messy than a kinetic war – a nuclear one being their plan B.

Now to the eighth and final level. And if some of the previous levels didn't shock and disturb you, this one certainly will. Without wanting to overdramatize our current situation, it really does look like we're at *the* pivotal moment of human evolution.

I've got no religious affiliations, and only a basic knowledge of theology, so my analysis will probably sound simplistic to those who know it well. But it's worth giving it a try to understand and explain what I've discovered as simply as possible, as best I can. And from what I've read and listened to, just about all of the ancient texts, religions, fables, mythologies, and fairy tales depict life as an eternal battle between good and evil. Between God and Satan. Historical events have certainly always played out that way. So perhaps God and Satan really do exist. Not physically, but as powerful spiritual entities that can completely possess people, institutions, nations, and even entire populations of a planet. Obviously they're not really an old bearded guy on a cloud and a little red guy with horns, but to keep things simple, it's easier to talk about them as physical beings.

God seems to be a good guy. Perhaps it's no coincidence that the word 'god' is a shortened version of 'good'. He's a bit strict with rules and sins and judgements; and if we don't behave ourselves, pretty harsh with the punishments. But he definitely wants humans to flourish; *'to go forth, be fruitful, and multiply, and to subdue the earth and have dominion over all its plants and animals'*.

To put it simply, he's very pro-human, in every respect. He wants us to be happy and full of gratitude and joy and hope (unless we're naughty). And as much as he reveres the sanctity of our life on earth, he tells us there's a life after death – a heaven if we behave ourselves; and a hell if we don't. So people who truly believe in God are likely to behave themselves, even if life on earth hasn't been that great for them. It keeps them humble and grateful; knowing that the talents they may have, the good fortune that comes their way, and the things they manage to achieve, are gifts from a higher power, not a result of their own brilliance and ingenuity. And they're in it for the long game, a game that goes on way beyond this physical body. Following God's advice is undoubtedly good for humanity's survival on this earth. But he's also given us free will. The freedom to choose his way, or another way.

The other way of course is offered by Satan, a devilish little character. Full of temptations of earthly pleasures, it's easy to see his appeal. He wants us to be naughty, it's more fun. But he promises no life after death. This is it,

so make the most of it. What he doesn't tell you is that he doesn't care if you have a good life or a terrible life, whether humanity survives or not. He wants you to enjoy the immediate pleasure of sweet food and strong wine, and doesn't care that you end up suffering a horrible death from diabetes. In fact he seems to revere death more than life when you discover his obsession with sacrificial rituals. He really just wants control over you – which is remarkably easy once you've succumbed to his temptations – and perhaps to ultimately destroy all of humanity.

Now here's a frightening thought: What if a large, influential portion of the world's population have inadvertently become Satanists, whether they're aware of it or not? And what if this just happens to be the people who govern us, inform us, entertain us, and educate our children?

There's certainly been a consistent move in Western culture away from traditional religion and towards atheism in the last few generations. Atheists, by definition, don't believe in God. They believe in science. So in essence they are the opposite of God-believers. Believing in something mystical and unprovable like God is seen as child-like and 'unscientific'.

So now – especially among the educated ruling classes in the West – many are living a Godless type of existence; with rampant materialism, pornography, greed, gluttony, and illicit drugs all widely acceptable (even celebrated) aspects of modern life. And since they don't believe in life after death, or heaven and hell, they'll do absolutely anything in order to experience worldly pleasures and to prolong their own lives as long as possible, at any cost. Satan would be very happy with that. Perhaps he's even responsible for it.

What's even more surprising to those of us who haven't been paying attention, is just how widespread Satanic worship has become. Apparently it has deeply infiltrated the entertainment industry, politics, Freemasonry, and even the Catholic Church. The openly-displayed symbolism (which is an important aspect of Satanism) is everywhere; including features of this pandemic – the masks, the six feet 'social' distancing, the weird inversion of language such as 'staying apart keeps us together', and the number 666.

Just as I'm writing these words, this morning's media headline, labelled '*Victoria's Worst Day Ever*', (23 Sept. 2021) announced exactly 6666 'active infections'. Yesterday, at 9.15am, on the same day anti-lockdown protests were expected to reach their peak, Melbourne, a city that very rarely gets earthquakes, is rocked by a strong earthquake which destroys several buildings; the same week the Melbourne *Demons* win their first football premiership in half a century. Surely Satan and his minions are having a laugh.

All just coincidences of course, but they keep appearing with alarming consistency once you start looking for them. Believe it or not, the name Fauci (who appears destined to be eventually exposed as the Josef Mengele of this pandemic) is a Sicilian word for sickle, the favoured weapon of the Grim Reaper, who first appeared during the Black Death plague which arrived in Sicily in 1347. That's where Anthony Fauci's family originated.

And have a close look at some of the music videos of recent years, from Black Sabbath, to KISS, Madonna, and Lady Gaga. Watch for the inverted Christian crosses, satanic hand signals, and mock sacrificial rituals. Like I said earlier, it's hip and edgy. All just in the name of entertainment and fun you might say. Perhaps that's just the way Satan sneaks into our psyche. No wonder Middle Eastern countries have been calling the United States '*The Great Satan*' for years. Perhaps they were just stating the obvious.

Now think about the issues that are widely supported, without question, by most of us in Western democracies (and this is not an argument for or against any of them): Family Planning (abortion and contraception), euthanasia, the gay movement, the transgender movement, and even the climate-change movement (explained later). Half of them promote death, the other half prevent reproduction. The one thing they have in common is that they are all the exact opposite of *going forth, being fruitful, and multiplying*. Whether it's intentional or not, their end game is depopulation. And if taken to the extreme, human extinction. In fact it looks like we may have been on a path of self-destruction for hundreds of years.

In regards to the climate change movement, it's clear that most of us now believe that there are already far too many of us. And we are carelessly, immorally, destroying the planet. Ask yourself one question. If that's truly what you believed, and you didn't believe in God, or life after death, or heaven and hell (but you did believe in 'science' – man's ability to understand and control everything) and you had the money, power, and ability to do something about it (in fact you've had so much money, power, and unbridled success for so long that you've developed a bit of a God complex);

*What would you do to save the planet?*

Even if you never had any intention of acting on such a belief, would you be tempted to stand by and let it happen? Just like the United Nations did during the Rwandan genocide. After all, everyone knew there were far too many Africans.

Which brings us back to this pandemic. There's an online video of a group of virologists discussing the Covid-19 virus. They all agreed it had to have been developed in a lab. The question was why. The only answer they could come up with was that it must have been developed as a bioweapon. What they couldn't understand was why you would choose a coronavirus as the basis of a bioweapon. They understood the characteristics of coronaviruses well enough to know that a lab-modified one would eventually mutate into nothing more dangerous than a common cold. Viruses always mutate into less virulent forms because humans have the ability to develop natural immunity. Otherwise we would have died off as a species thousands of years ago. But even in its original most-virulent form this lab-developed coronavirus was unlikely to kill more than 1% of the world's population. So it certainly wasn't a very effective bioweapon.

Then it suddenly dawned on them. The virus isn't the bioweapon. The vaccine is. Perhaps not initially, because if too many people died after their first jab, others would refuse to be vaccinated. But once they had most of the world submitting to yearly or six-monthly inoculations, they could gradually kill off a large portion of the population, blaming the deaths on some new 'variant' of the original virus. The more people died, the more

they'd insist we need to be vaccinated. It's a brilliantly evil plan. Something Satan himself would be proud of.

And by late September 2021, that's exactly how it seems to be playing out. Many doctors are pointing out that the new Delta variant didn't appear until after the vaccinations, and now for the first time people of all ages are dying, not just the elderly. These doctors claim the Delta variant (which can't be identified by the test anyway) is just the vaccine.

Authorities are already talking about a fourth booster shot. Victorian Premier Dan Andrews just announced with apparent glee that *'it won't be a vaccine passport you'll be showing in the first part of next year, it'll be your booster passport'*. Watching him sneering through his latest decree of sadistic rules, he does actually look as though he's possessed by some sort of evil demonic spirit. Only a psychopath with a complete absence of empathy could dream up and enforce a rule that a dying elderly person can't be visited by their closest relatives. These bureaucrats have no apparent awareness of how totally insane they look and sound to anyone who's awake. The problem is that they're surrounded and supported by people who seem to be possessed by the same demonic spirit.

Nothing that the authorities have been doing during this pandemic seems to make sense ... unless of course you understand that they are trying (even if not aware of it themselves) to reduce the world's population. Then it all makes perfect sense. They're murdering people. But in their twisted minds they're doing it for 'the common good'.

No sane human being could possibly participate in such a plan unless their mind had been taken over by some sort of demonic spirit. But that spirit might be nothing more than a widely-accepted belief that has burrowed its way into our minds like a malignant cancer. The belief that the world is overpopulated. Everyone who shares that belief could be guilty of participating in this evil genocide.

In animal husbandry terms it's referred to as culling the herd. But let's not use weasel words. They have been killing people. And are planning to kill

a lot more. According to retired Pfizer chief scientist Dr Michael Yeadon, when discussing the pandemic; *‘this system has been put in place using lies for some purpose, and I believe that purpose is complete totalitarian control. And I think the purpose of that is going to be mass depopulation’*.

This is a shocking and frightening realization. In fact it’s initially quite soul destroying for anyone who’s still got one. Especially for us innocents who’ve never faced any sort of evil in our lives; and never even contemplated its existence. Not many of us have ever been in a real fight, let alone a war. We haven’t been threatened by the evil intentions of a Hitler, Stalin, or Mao. Members of our youngest generations – who think a lecherous hand on a bare shoulder from an overzealous admirer is a violent assault – probably have a hard time imagining real violence, let alone real evil. We’ve got a lot to learn. And this awakening is going to be particularly painful for those most sheltered and naive.

The lesson from history is that evil does exist. His name is Satan. He can infect and subvert a whole civilization of people if they aren’t awake and fully aware of the consequences of their actions. And just as Sun Tzu predicated all those years ago, that the art of war is the art of deception; *the power of Satan is the power of deception*. He’s cool, hip, well-spoken, smart, sophisticated, a little bit edgy; and most importantly, he wants you to have fun and endless pleasure, right here, right now. It’s hard to resist. But he only really cares about having power over you. He doesn’t care about consequences; if you live or die, if you’re happy or depressed, if what you do is right or wrong, good or bad. He’s amoral, and wants you to be the same.

But how can he tempt people who already have all the freedoms and worldly pleasures they could possibly desire? Well, he uses lies and deception to take them away. And then promises to give them back if you do what he wants. Does that seem familiar?

Comply if you like, but it won’t end well.

# CHAPTER TWO

*This chapter was written in January 2021, almost a year into the pandemic. So some of the information and statistics are out of sync with the rest of the book. But I've left it just as I wrote it so that it can be seen if the direction I saw things heading turned out to be accurate. It did. Although at that stage I'd only gone down the rabbit hole as far as China.*

## PANDEMIC?

You might not be a doctor or scientist. However you can probably understand statistics. And you can listen to lectures and interviews with doctors and scientists; there are plenty available online. If there are conflicting opinions, you can tell which of them seem more credible. You also know by now that modern mainstream media (including social media) not only lacks any sort of in-depth analysis, but isn't even close to being an honest or reliable source of the most basic information. It plays an active role in censoring and distorting anything contrary to its quite obvious narrative. Fear, outrage, and sensationalism are the basis of its business model. Which is why many of us have been so easily manipulated and misled.

With that in mind, do you really believe that the authorities have virtually shut down the whole world for what soon will be more than a year because of a 'deadly' disease, caused by a virus that over 90% of those who apparently have it don't even get slightly sick, and those who do die with it are predominantly older than the average life expectancy anyway?

If it all seems highly suspicious, read on, you'll discover why.

By getting better informed you'll learn that our bodies contain thousands of viruses. Some of them apparently cause respiratory illnesses like influenza and pneumonia, which can be deadly. New ones (novel viruses like the new strain of Coronavirus) are usually more virulent because people haven't been exposed to them before and they initially spread more readily.

People with strong immune systems usually develop antibodies and don't get sick, even without treatment. Others with weaker immune systems might get sick and may even die. But they can develop immunity by being



exposed to a small dose of the virus, which is the theory behind vaccines, but can also happen quite naturally.

Everyone with a weak immune system will inevitably get sick from some sort of virus or bacteria, especially as they reach old age, but not everyone who is exposed to viruses will get sick. So obviously the problem isn't really viruses; it's weak immune systems.

In general, the best way to develop and maintain a strong immune system is to eat healthy fresh food, don't poison your body with alcohol or drugs, exercise regularly, don't let yourself get overweight, get plenty of fresh air and vitamin D (sunshine), minimise stress, and nurture a happy, positive attitude.

Curiously, most government responses to the Coronavirus – a myriad of ever-changing and often nonsensical and inconsistent rules and laws which create confusion, fear, and stress; locking people inside their homes, preventing them from seeing friends and family, limiting their time outdoors, insisting they wear masks (significantly reducing their oxygen intake, which incidentally, is just what influenza and pneumonia do as they progress) – is the exact opposite of what people need in order to maintain a strong immune system.

And the rarely-mentioned factor in developing a strong immune system is the importance of regular, small-dose exposure to a wide variety of viruses, germs, and bacteria – which is similar to using your muscles regularly to build strength.

You don't maintain a strong immune system by hiding from viruses. By keeping people masked and 'socially distanced' you're just developing a population of people with weak immune systems who will be permanently dependent on drugs and vaccines to stay healthy. The notion of identifying and eliminating viruses is like trying to eliminate water on the premise that it causes thousands of people to drown each year.

And in regards to the government response; take any eighty-year-old with a feeble mind and body, tell them they have just caught a deadly virus that has created the worse pandemic the world has ever seen, lock them up in a

room on their own, in a plastic cubicle, unable to see friends and family, or even the smiling face of a friendly nurse, and they'll probably be dead in a couple of weeks – frightened, lonely, bored, and with no will to live. Aboriginals used to call a similar ritual 'pointing the bone'. Apparently it was very effective. No wonder Amnesty International lists a combination of these sorts of impositions on prisoners as one of the most insidious and effective forms of psychological torture.

Part of the problem seems to be that most of us (including plenty of very intelligent and well-intentioned doctors and scientists) have been conditioned into believing that we need drugs and vaccines in order to stay healthy, that for every ailment there is a corresponding drug, for every virus a vaccine; just waiting to be discovered by modern science – an attitude driven by a huge healthcare and pharmaceutical industry that actually needs an unhealthy population to maintain its financial viability. Somewhere along the way we forgot that the human body is a self-healing mechanism that in reality we barely understand. And we don't even pretend to understand the importance of belief, or faith, in healing, which is usually lightly dismissed and left unexamined as 'just the placebo effect'.

As for the lockdowns in response to the Coronavirus, there is absolutely no scientific or circumstantial evidence that they save lives. In fact one thing we have learnt in the past twelve months is that there is no correlation between the severity of lockdowns and the number of deaths attributed to Covid-19 (the disease supposedly caused by the novel Coronavirus) in different cities, states, and countries around the world, despite every local government lucky enough to have low death rates claiming it's because of their own competence. Neither is there evidence that mandated mask-wearing reduces the spread of the virus. Recent studies (and common sense) show just the opposite.

When it comes to the effect of infectious diseases in different parts of the world, there are hundreds of variables, including the age and general health of the population, the effectiveness of the healthcare system, the air quality of a region or city (always significant in regards to the elderly with respiratory diseases), and especially the method of classifying deaths. Most countries make no distinction between people dying 'of' Covid or 'with'

Covid, and many countries just assume that anyone with Covid-like symptoms (including the common cold, which incidentally is a strain of Coronavirus), or who once tested positive for Covid, has died of Covid. Where this is the case, deaths actually caused by Covid could be as low as 6% of the reported death rates.

Another thing that has become clear in the past year is that there are many incentives to exaggerate the numbers and promote a pandemic. Fearmongering, clickbait-chasing media, profiteering pharmaceutical companies promoting the need for 'life-saving' vaccines, power-hungry politicians and bureaucrats, virtue-signalling drama queens craving attention, and opportunist capitalists (especially big tech monopolies like Amazon) have all benefited enormously from this pandemic. And the clear narrative is that the only thing we can do is to be fearful and obedient, and hide from this terrible virus until a safe and proven vaccine is invented. No matter how long it takes. Because 'even one death is one too many'. Authorities seem to be in denial that we do actually die, at some stage, of something, usually when we're over seventy if we're lucky.

But statistical facts (at least the ones that are least likely to be manipulated or misinterpreted) expose the reality. In Australia, for instance, if you're under seventy, in good health, and contract the Coronavirus, there's virtually no chance you'll get seriously ill, let alone actually die from it. In fact, with a total of only 58 Covid deaths of Australians under seventy by the end of 2020, even if you're not in good health, you've got about twenty times more chance of being killed in a car accident.

Things get even more interesting when you look at historical statistics. In 2019 there were roughly 300,000 reported cases of influenza in Australia, with around 4,000 deaths attributed to influenza and pneumonia. Which makes the 2020 statistic of 28,000 cases of Covid, and 908 Covid deaths (plus an additional 2,000 influenza and pneumonia deaths) pale in comparison.

In other words, according to these figures, every year in Australia could be declared a pandemic, and most years have been considerably worse than

2020. The 2009 Swine Flu (H1N1 Influenza) probably caused around 1600 deaths in Australia, from around 38,000 cases (with very limited testing).

So in Australia at least, it's logical to ask a pertinent question. Is this virus really so dangerous that we need to virtually shut down our whole economy? A policy that has ruined millions of lives and put the country into billions of dollars of future debt; when more than 90% of those who contract it don't even get slightly sick, and the tiny percentage of those who do get seriously ill and die were already sick and/or already beyond the average age of death. Of course the immediate counter argument is that Australia did such a great job by (supposedly) closing its borders and locking down the population so stringently. Otherwise, according to this narrative, we could have had a death rate similar to the United States, which at 276,000 by the end of 2020 is 23 times (per head of population) worse than Australia's. Almost 21,000 Australians could have died instead of 908. But in reality, there is no way of knowing what would have happened had the government taken no action at all. There are many credible doctors and scientists who claim that had this Coronavirus not been identified and widely tested for, most countries would have simply registered a bad flu season, if that.

If bureaucrats and politicians told us we need to have our legs amputated in order to save our lives (well, actually, mainly other people's lives), surely we'd want as many doctor's opinions as possible and see definitive proof before we consented to such drastic measures. Otherwise they could just do it and claim that it worked, it saved lives, whether it did or not. Which is exactly what might have just happened with the lockdowns. Have a look at the worldwide statistics and see for yourself, don't just rely on random press releases promoting an obvious agenda. There are dozens of countries that had virtually no lockdown and very few Covid deaths.

It's also misleading comparing different countries, with so many (mostly unacknowledged) variables. There's a saying in the United States that everything which happens in a presidential election year is political. And none more so than 2020. It's obvious that the pandemic was used as a political weapon to destroy President Trump's chances of re-election. It ruined his greatest political asset, the economy, and his handling of the

crisis was the main platform of his opponent's campaign against him. There's no doubt that Trump's political enemies (and there are many, including many extremely powerful and influential individuals, industries, and institutions) have an obvious incentive to promote, exaggerate, and possibly even create a pandemic in the US. And the stakes are high. We're talking trillions of dollars. And the future direction of the free world. So you really can't trust some statistics, especially in the United States.

In regards to how each death has been classified, it's often complicated and subjective. There are usually multiple causes. The most reliable statistic could simply be the overall annual deaths. And here is a startling revelation. In 2019, 2.88 million Americans died. In 2020, only 2.78 million Americans had died by December 16. So despite a reported 276,000 Covid deaths, it looks as though there actually was no pandemic. How else could this statistic (which is difficult to manipulate or misinterpret) be explained?

In the last twelve months we have been lied to so often, so blatantly, and so consistently by politicians, bureaucrats, and mainstream media, that many people have grown numb to whatever they say, just blindly obeying every new dictum without thought.

Early in 2020 Anthony Fauci, America's most powerful medical bureaucrat, told us that masks were ineffective. Now he tells us they should be mandatory. Was he lying then, or is he lying now?

They told us the lockdown was just for fourteen days to 'flatten the curve'. The curve flattened. Nine months ago. But still the lockdowns continue.

The World Health Organization has always stated that nation-wide lockdowns are not an effective form of pandemic management. Yet governments around the world keep doubling down, continuing with this crippling policy.

And here's an excerpt from a current UK government website which makes it clear that, after initial concern, as far back as mid-March health authorities knew that Covid-19 was not dangerous enough to cause a pandemic:

*“As of 19 March 2020, COVID-19 is no longer considered to be a high consequence infectious disease (HCID) in the UK. The 4 nations public health HCID group made an interim recommendation in January 2020 to classify COVID-19 as an HCID. This was based on consideration of the UK HCID criteria about the virus and the disease with information available during the early stages of the outbreak. Now that more is known about COVID-19, the public health bodies in the UK have reviewed the most up to date information about COVID-19 against the UK HCID criteria. They have determined that several features have now changed; in particular, more information is available about mortality rates (low overall), and there is now greater clinical awareness and a specific and sensitive laboratory test, the availability of which continues to increase. The Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens (ACDP) is also of the opinion that COVID-19 should no longer be classified as an HCID.”*

There’s clearly enough evidence to indicate that there simply is, and never was a pandemic. In most countries, no more people died in 2020 of infectious diseases than in any other recent year, probably considerably less if you take into account the additional deaths caused by the lockdowns; including suicides, homicides, and reduced medical care for other diseases. In which case, these lockdowns, social-distancing rules, and mask-wearing-dictates are obviously not really about a virus.

The only funny thing about this is that the people who insist on wearing masks aren’t aware that they themselves are the new tin-foil-hat-wearers of the current generation. And the once-liberal political left most of them unquestioningly support, are now the fascist establishment they once fervently opposed. Dissenting opinions aren’t tolerated; in fact they’re outlawed as ‘dangerous misinformation’.

So what’s really going on?

Apart from all the obvious incentives previously mentioned, perhaps the underlying driver could be that there are people and institutions with an enormous amount of money, power, and influence who want to re-shape the world with a highly-regulated, authoritarian, secular, socialist-style global government; which many believe will lead to a more equitable and

sustainable future and finally destroy the oppressive influence of the patriarchal, racist, capitalist, Judeo-Christian-based democracies which have ruled the world, in their eyes, far too long.

Selfish Western values of individualism, independence, nationalism, freedom, and self-responsibility must give way to a more (apparently) effective and virtuous system; replacing the current ethic of individual human rights with an ethic of the greater importance of the common good. Of course this 'new world order' will also make the people and institutions promoting it even more powerful, and considerably more wealthy. And it is strongly supported by communist countries like Russia and China for obvious ideological reasons. It will also probably lead to a greater gap between the wealthy elite and a growing number of welfare-dependent poor.

Arguably, this has always been the aim of institutions such as the United Nations, European Union, World Bank, International Monetary Fund, World Health Organization, and most left-leaning political parties, and is something they have been working towards for many years.

More recently, they have been openly talking about using the 'Covid crisis' as an opportunity to *build back better*, a phrase now being widely used by globalists; and as Joe Biden's campaign slogan. It's also referred to as *The Great Reset*, and was discussed in detail at last year's World Economic Forum in Davos. One of their early catch phrases was, *you will own nothing, and you will be happy*. Presumably, that would be us, not them.

There's also the tech and media giants like Amazon, Google, Twitter, Facebook, and Youtube, who already control (and censor, at will) the large majority of information fed to the general public. All of these are part of the bureaucratic/technocratic 'deep state' that apparently want to impose their idea of an equitable and sustainable utopia on the common people, whether they want it or not.

So it's quite possible that this 'Covid crisis' is being used (as in, promoted, exaggerated, drawn out, and possibly even completely fabricated) by a powerful bureaucratic/technocratic cabal to force the world's population to accept a New World Order, which will be controlled by them – a non-elected elite who have been progressing surely and steadily towards this

ever since the Second World War, but were forced to take more drastic action after the rise of ‘populist’ movements in recent years which brought about Trump’s election, Brexit, the Yellow Vest movement in France, and the Hong Kong independence movement; which combined, are an existential threat to their long-term plan.

But surely this cabal wouldn’t have progressed as far as it already has without support from a large portion of the general population? Why would so many normal, apparently decent, intelligent people in Western democracies willingly comply with, and even actively support the agenda of such an authoritarian technocratic elite? Do we no longer share the values of the people who founded and built the nations we inhabit – freedom, independence, self-reliance, and social responsibility rather than social justice? Perhaps not.

It could be argued that since the end of the Second World War, a large portion of the population have been transformed ideologically into the sort of people who are far more likely to willingly accept something like this New World Order. And this just happens to be the portion of the population that teach the children, educate the university students, publish books, write for newspapers, talk on television, become public servants, run for political office, and often end up running large organisations. Without being aware of it, we have quite possibly been collectively brainwashed into a certain way of perceiving the world. And the more ‘educated’ we are, the more brainwashed we tend to be.

Several generations of us have been taught to be highly critical of Western civilization’s racist history and patriarchal culture. We now value ‘feelings’ over rational argument and logic. We believe that a human with a penis can be a woman simply because that’s how they feel; that there is no such thing as ‘the’ truth, only ‘your’ truth or ‘my’ truth. We’re predominantly atheist, but our new ‘religion’ is social justice and climate change, in which we passionately believe. Our main concerns are to do with equity, social welfare, gender, sexuality, race, and sustainability. Being politically correct, non-offensive, and safe are apparently our most important values. And we seem to be happy to accept more and more rules and regulations to keep us that way.



Many of us openly declare our hatred of the oppressive capitalist system we are currently living under. So we would certainly be the perfect citizens of a new type of socialist utopia. In fact we desperately want a New World Order.

At our youthful extreme, we're disparagingly called 'snowflakes' or 'social justice warriors' by our critics. But in our more mature ambitious guise, we are the 'progressive' professionals running many of the large corporations, government bureaucracies, and just about all of the educational institutions in many countries around the world. We consider ourselves to be the 'woke' generation – educated, virtuous, and aware.

But we don't realise we have been educated and are aware from only one perspective, so we tend not to question or critique our own way of thinking. We know we are right. And we know that those who disagree with us are uneducated and morally inferior.

We are also sometimes cynically referred to as 'useful idiots' by Marxist revolutionaries. And although we hold virtually all of the positions of power, authority, and information dissemination, we are far from being the majority in most countries.

And while this New World Order might sound like a noble ideal to some, and those attempting to instigate it undoubtedly believe they are making the world a better place, it's an idea that too-lightly dismisses the lessons of history, and too-easily appeals to people either disconnected from the practicalities of life, or keen to avoid the hardships of earning a living. Even more importantly, any movement that has to use censorship, misinformation, deception, and fear in order to get people to accept its doctrine cannot be good.

Many of us find it difficult to believe that there are extremely wealthy powerful people who would be evil enough to plan and create an event that would bring the world to its knees, destroying millions of lives in order to achieve their desired result. But it has happened before. Many events far worse than this pandemic have been instigated by such people and endured by humanity throughout history, especially in the last century. So it's certainly not unprecedented.

It's never good when people gain so much money and power that they develop a God complex and start to believe they know what is good for humanity, while in reality understanding very little about the true complexity, motivations, and weaknesses of human nature. Including their own. What they proscribe for humanity they usually don't apply to themselves. After all, they are the elite. But although they might be super intelligent and incredibly knowledgeable, more often than not they have little knowledge of history. And absolutely no wisdom. Which is what makes them so delusional and dangerous.

But if, as it seems, this 'pandemic' is being promoted and used by the technocratic bureaucracy to gain more power and force their ideology on the world, would they really have the influence, finances, and ability to pull off such a massive deception on their own? Are they unified by more than just ideology? Are all the global institutions so well connected and coordinated that they could organize and fund such a huge conspiracy? Would they have access to the sort of money required to fund major universities and research institutes around the world, provide million-dollar 'jobs' for politician's family members in order to influence policies and decisions, and own whole industries whose advertising budgets provide them with the power to dictate terms and completely control the narrative of major news outlets? Probably not. Which leads to an interesting question. Just as the Woke generation might be the useful idiots for Marxist extremists, could it be that the globalists are the useful idiots for an extremely powerful, aggressive, ambitious nation that simply wants to conquer the world? China, for instance?

So the Woke globalists might actually be inadvertently helping the tyrannical imperialists. Which is ironic when you consider how much modern progressives despise Western civilization's brutal history of imperialism. But after all, it's not as though globalism is such a new idea anyway. Hasn't every empire in history had a similar objective; to create a new world order, with them in control?

There's a saying often used by investigative researchers, that if something looks like a duck, walks like a duck, and quacks like a duck, it most

probably is a duck. In other words, sometimes we tend to look past the blatantly obvious.

In the late 1930's the National Socialist German Workers' Party led one of the most civilized, highly-cultured countries in the world on a quest to conquer all of Europe and create a new world order. A nation of predominantly decent, well-educated Germans committed unspeakable atrocities on humanity, eventually killing millions of people in a failed attempt to achieve their goal.

Why would it be so hard to believe that only eighty years later another nation, much larger and infinitely more powerful than Germany, even more ambitious, and led by a similarly autocratic, and possibly even more brutal regime, would conspire to conquer the whole world? A nation whose president-for-life, Xi Jinping, has openly declared their ambition of global domination within the next generation. A nation that believes it's their destiny – as the world's oldest civilization – to assert their cultural superiority on the rest of the world. Perhaps we should take their word for it.

Are we naively assuming that the CCP (Chinese Communist Party) are simply trying to help us prosper, rather than own and control us? Or even if they do plan to completely take over, that it will be done openly, honestly, and ethically? Some may argue that the West has no right to complain, since the world has endured Spanish, French, Dutch, British, and American imperialism and colonialism for hundreds of years. Now it's time for a new Asian century. But if colonialism of the past was so evil, what makes it right and acceptable now?

If you follow the money trail, it's clear that the CCP now controls most of the world's top universities through donations, grants, research funds, and student fees; many of the global bureaucratic institutions through funding; nearly all of the digital information technology; and (through bribes, kickbacks, and blackmail) many of the world's most powerful politicians, in most countries. Including, quite possibly, the recently inaugurated president of the United States.

There's also evidence that with Chinese software installed in widely-used digital voting machines, they may even have been influencing democratic elections throughout the world for the past couple of decades. After all, *it's not the people who vote that count; it's the people who count the votes*; a statement attributed to Joseph Stalin, another ambitious communist leader who understood how to win and maintain power.

It's quite possible that we are currently in the midst of a third world war. The good news is that it will probably never escalate into a physical war. And most people will never even be aware it's going on – distracted as we are with divisive issues such as pandemics, gender equality, LGBT rights, systemic racism, climate change, immigration, Islamic terrorism, right-wing extremism, white supremacy, mass shootings, gun control, and hate speech. Which is important stuff to many of us in the West. But keeping us wilfully occupied.

This is an apparently peaceful (until recently) but obvious insurgency that has taken place slowly but surely over the last two or three generations, while its victims have been, quite possibly, deliberately distracted. If 2020 has proven anything, it's that you only have to control the information being fed to the population and you can bring any country to its knees. And it will willingly comply and even police it themselves. Information is the army, and fear is its most powerful weapon.

The Covid pandemic looks to be one of the weapons of this insurgency. Fear of the virus, exacerbated by the ever-changing and nonsensical rules of lockdowns, is creating division, stress, uncertainty, domestic violence, loneliness, depression, and subsequent suicides in countries where lockdowns are most tyrannically applied. In military terms this is known as a PSYOP (psychological operation) which is defined as *'an operation to convey selected information and indicators to audiences to influence their emotions, motives, and objective reasoning, and ultimately the behaviour of governments, organizations, groups, and individuals'*.

This type of psychological warfare divides its victims against each other and eventually breaks their will, to the extent that the citizens of a targeted nation will gladly submit and surrender their sovereignty, independence,

and freedom – with virtually no resistance – to anyone who's prepared to take control.

If you don't happen to be a member of the CCP, this all sounds quite frightening; unless of course, you're inclined to accept world domination by communist China as not only inevitable, but perhaps a good thing anyway. You could probably argue that at least this is a more humane way of conquering the world than by killing millions of people with guns and bombs. But it's still not right.

If we'd prefer to live in a genuine democracy with Western values, freedom of speech, and a better record of human rights, and have faith in something far more omniscient than government bureaucrats, we may want to show some resistance before we completely lose the opportunity to have our say. Perhaps we'd prefer not to know what's really going on.

But it is going on. And it will greatly affect our life, whether we like it or not. We might have been a happy-go-lucky Uyghur living in China a few years ago who preferred not to know what was going on. But we still ended up in a re-education camp, probably having our organs harvested. Whether we liked it or not.

Obviously that's not happening in most countries. Yet. But Australians, for instance, have lived under various degrees of house arrest for almost a year; unable to leave the country or travel to other states; and at various times not permitted to leave our house, visit friends or family, sit on a park bench, or peacefully protest without being attacked and arrested by military-style riot police. Saying the wrong thing on a Facebook post could result in the police smashing your door down and dragging you away in handcuffs. Any of this would have been unimaginable and unacceptable only a year ago. But yes, this is happening. In Australia. Right now.

Our choice is to continue living in fear of a virus that is relatively harmless to most people, obediently cowering at home behind our masks every time the authorities announce another 'dangerous new strain' or new 'cluster', with none of the self-determination and freedom we once enjoyed; or we can acknowledge the truth, speak out, and stand up to this deceptive tyranny. As a nation, if we continue to naively believe this pandemic

narrative without question, continue to live in fear, continue to sheepishly accept lockdowns as necessary to ‘save lives’ despite the lives so obviously being destroyed, and are too timid to speak up when things simply don’t make sense, draconian regulations and restrictions will become, as promised, the ‘new normal’.

Small businesses will never recover as big supermarkets, big department stores, and big tech companies thrive and monopolize the market; a large portion of the middle/working class will end up permanently on unemployment benefits; cash will be replaced by a government-controlled digital currency which they can monitor and control at will; and we’ll soon become a nation of obedient wage slaves and passive welfare recipients, lorded over by powerful bureaucrats who monitor and regulate every aspect of our lives. We’ll be told where we can go, when we can go, and what we can do – just like now, in a Covid lockdown. And eventually we’ll be told what we’re allowed to say and think, as Orwell predicted in his 1984 dystopian nightmare ... while our current politicians continue acting as though Orwell’s novel was an instruction manual, not a warning.

It’s obvious to anyone who is even slightly sceptical that all these rules and restrictions have nothing to do with a virus. In fact there are thousands of doctors, nurses, and medical scientists who have had the courage to publicly declare that masks and lockdown rules make no medical or scientific sense. Hundreds of thousands of them declared this when they signed *The Great Barrington Declaration* in October 2020.

If we keep obeying nonsensical rules we will eventually lose the ability to think for ourselves, to think rationally. We’ll become passive, mindless, obedient zombies, hopelessly afraid, blindly hoping the government will save us with their draconian rules and miracle cures. Which perhaps is just what those governing us at the moment are hoping.

It’s worth remembering that all socialist/communist experiments throughout history have led to a worse environment and a lower standard of living for all but the elite. And they all ended badly. All of them. At a cost of millions of lives. Because it’s not a political system you can vote your way out of. It’s also worth remembering that the truth will eventually prevail, as

it always does, and the tactic of manipulating people through lies, deception, and fear will be exposed for what it is. But this won't just happen on its own. It requires effort and tenacity from people with the courage to seek out and speak the truth. Good people who know that a genuine desire for peace, love, and compassion should not lead to timid surrender and submission, fuelled by social pressure and a fear of death. Evil prevails when good men do nothing, but we can follow the examples of great men like Martin Luther King and Mahatma Ghandi, who advocated non-violent civil disobedience as a way to stand up to unjust authorities. After all, in a genuine democracy the government is afraid of the people, but when people are afraid of the government, it's a tyranny.

If what you've read here all sounds like some sort of crazy conspiracy theory, the following quote from one of Democrat President John F Kennedy's speeches may convince you otherwise. He exposed the beginnings of a very similar insurgency more than fifty years ago. And paid the ultimate price.

*"Our way of life is under attack. Those who make themselves our enemy are advancing around the globe. The survival of our friends is in danger. And yet no war has been declared, no borders have been crossed by marching troops, no missiles have been fired. If the press is awaiting a declaration of war before it imposes the self-discipline of combat conditions, then I can only say that no war ever posed a greater threat to our security. If you are awaiting a finding of "clear and present danger," then I can only say that the danger as never been more clear and its presence has never been more imminent. ... For we are opposed around the world by a monolithic and ruthless conspiracy that relies primarily on covert means for expanding its sphere of influence—on infiltration instead of invasion, on subversion instead of elections, on intimidation instead of free choice, on guerrillas by night instead of armies by day. It is a system which has conscripted vast human and material resources into the building of a tightly-knit, highly efficient machine that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic, scientific and political operations. Its preparations are concealed, not published. Its mistakes are buried, not headlined. Its dissenters are silenced, not praised. No expenditure is questioned, no*

*rumour is printed, no secret is revealed. It conducts the Cold War, in short, with a war-time discipline no democracy would ever hope or wish to match.” (JFK, April 1961)*



# CHAPTER THREE

*"We are fast approaching the stage of the ultimate inversion: the stage where the government is free to do anything it pleases, while the citizens may act only by permission; which is the stage of the darkest periods of human history, the stage of rule by brute force." - Ayn Rand*

## EARLY SEPTEMBER 2021

It's now almost nine months since the previous chapter was written. Sydney entered a two-week lockdown in early July this year, and by early September there's still no end in sight. Downtown Sydney has been a ghost town for months, with just a few cafes and construction sites open, which are operating, but with limited workers, since most of them live in suburbs under strict curfew. A few weeks after the lockdowns began – when it became obvious that the government were going to keep moving the goal posts and extending the lockdowns indefinitely – there were some attempted protest marches on weekends. But they were shut down with brutal efficiency by hundreds of Kevlar-clad riot police who raced in and arrested as many as they could chase down and catch. There's been little sign of resistance since. Only passive compliance.

Every weekend there's been the constant sound of helicopters hovering menacingly over the city, with the intermittent wail of sirens racing through the mostly empty streets. Police cars, riot squads, tactical response teams, fire engines, and ambulances seem to be always on the move. Where are they going? What are they doing? Is it just to maintain the tension, to keep everyone afraid and hiding in their homes? Or are people burning their houses down, dying in their sleep, beating their wives? Who knows what's going on? Mainstream media certainly wouldn't tell us. They only report what they're allowed to report, which is anything that supports the official narrative. The narrative that we should all be afraid. Afraid of an imperceptible but deadly disease, and each other. And outraged at anyone who breaks the rules.

It's hard to tell how many people actually believe the constantly escalating fearmongering from politicians and media in their daily outpourings of grief and hysteria. But there's certainly a lot of them; walking cautiously-masked through parks on beautiful sunny days, avoiding each other by at

least twice the 1.5m ‘social distance’ regulated, just to be safe. And jumping to the side of the path cowering and covering their face whenever they hear a panting jogger approaching from behind.

So what was it that instigated this sudden lockdown, one of the most draconian in the world? A lockdown that will irreparably damage millions of lives and destroy thousands of businesses, and almost certainly bankrupt the whole country.

Back in early July, they managed to find almost a hundred ‘cases’. In a city of five million people. In winter. Almost one hundred people tested positive using a test with an acknowledged false-positive rate of over 90%. About a dozen of these cases were sick enough to require hospitalization.

No one had died of or with Covid in Australia in more than six months.

The new lockdown media-driven fear instigated a massive increase in testing. And two weeks later the government proudly announced that they’d tested almost half a million people. A couple of hundred tested positive, and according to authorities a few had died with or of Covid. Of course to anyone who still retained the ability to think rationally, this proved a couple of things about what they were now calling the new ‘Delta variant’.

Firstly, it obviously isn’t that contagious. A few hundred cases in a population of five million people who hadn’t been locked down for several months does *not* indicate a high rate of contagion. And secondly, it’s obviously not that deadly, which is consistent with most virus outbreaks. According to most virologists novel viruses always mutate into less deadly forms.

Of course the NSW Premier immediately announced just the opposite. Apparently this Delta variant was more deadly than the original virus, and so contagious it could leap through the air and contaminate someone you walked past in the park, in just a matter of seconds. This was the most frightening challenge Sydney had ever faced.

Perhaps this is the moment that some people, especially those in countries which were starting to open up – despite daily ‘cases’ in the thousands –

realised at last that these lockdowns really had nothing to do with a virus. Confirmed even more so a few months later when New Zealand prime minister Jacinda Ardern locked down the whole country after recording just one positive case. People around the world were starting to ask why Australia – which doesn't even rank in the top 100 countries affected by Covid – would be the most stringently locked down place in the world.

And no one ever mentions the fact that cases and deaths always seem to rise significantly as soon as lockdowns begin. It's obvious that lockdowns always make things worse. Common sense and thousands of doctors have been saying this since the beginning. Politicians, mainstream media, and at least half of the population continue to ignore the obvious. The 'experts' on TV scream for more stringent lockdowns. And politicians comply.

We now have state premiers and a prime minister openly stating that they intend to keep citizens locked down until enough people get vaccinated. Apart from the fact that most people don't even need it, the vaccines being offered only have emergency use approval from the FDA. As such, they are an experimental medical procedure, in which case the Nuremberg Code of 1947 states that everyone should *"be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion"*.

So we're being governed by people acting in contravention of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights. They could be charged with crimes against humanity, and in fact should be if this mass forced-inoculation goes pear-shaped, as many doctors and scientists believe it inevitably will.

Australian politicians and health bureaucrats are now infamous on alternative media platforms for the ridiculously draconian and nonsensical rules they announce at daily press briefings, where they expose themselves to the world as unhinged psychopaths. Australia has become a shining example of what can happen if citizens keep passively complying.

The only positive I can take from the current situation is that for the first time we're getting to see who the people governing our lives really are;

what they believe in, what they're prepared to do in order to achieve their goals, and what they think of us. Which apparently isn't much.

They're not good people.

But is anyone awake enough to take notice?

# CHAPTER FOUR

*Weaken everyone's immune system by locking them in their home for 18 months binging on Netflix, junk food, and fear. Then forcibly inject them with an experimental gene therapy thinly disguised as a vaccine, with undisclosed ingredients.  
What could possibly go wrong?*

## LATE SEPTEMBER 2021

By late September 2021 there have been a total of 1,256 deaths in the whole of Australia attributed to Covid-19. That doesn't even rank it in the top twenty causes of death. More than 4,000 Australians died from suicide during the same eighteen month period.

Meanwhile, it's impossible to get statistics on deaths caused by the vaccine in Australia because they're disguised as other causes like heart attacks and strokes from blood clots, a known side effect of the vaccine. Every day there's a flood of videos on alternative media sites from health professionals, whistleblowers, and victims testifying about the injuries and deaths caused by the vaccines. How do the authorities attempt to cover this up? Firstly with stringent censorship. They even try to censor their own official reports. The latest TGA (*Therapeutic Goods Administration*) report admits that 483 Australians have died after getting the vaccine, plus there have been 46,000 adverse effects. They banned that from being reported in the media because they claim it could be misleading.

Then they release statements such as: *"Covid can cause complications outside the lungs itself, so this can affect the heart and cause an inflammation of the heart muscle and could lead to someone passing away. Similarly, we know that Covid is associated with clots, and if a patient were to get a big clot on the lung, that could lead to a fatal outcome."* It apparently only became a Covid 'complication' after the vaccinations began in earnest. So now they're listing the side effects of the Covid vaccine as Covid symptoms. And people believe it.

The *Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System* (VAERS) recorded 15,000 deaths and 60,000 hospitalizations in the United States by early September.

Since it's a voluntary time-consuming reporting system, many doctors are claiming that as few as 1% of the incidents are actually reported, and in reality the vaccines may have killed more people than the Coronavirus itself.

This week the *Global Covid Summit Declaration* was signed by 4,600 doctors and scientists, accusing Covid-19 policy makers of 'crimes against humanity'.

The *Vaccine Death Report* by Dr Vladimir Zelenko and David Sorenson was released in early September stating that: "*The data shows that we are currently witnessing the greatest organized mass murder in the history of the world.*"

Last week two senior FDA officials resigned in protest against the American administration's continued promotion of unapproved booster shots.

A UK funeral director based in Milton Keynes does a series of interviews on alternative media outlets claiming that there was no rise in the usual number of deaths they were dealing with until after the vaccine had been widely administered.

Almost none of this information is reported on mainstream media, who continue to aggressively promote the vaccine and ridicule 'anti-vaxers' as 'anti-science'.

Meanwhile, authorities in Australia have managed to keep the 'cases' rising by introducing mandatory testing for many workplaces. They are currently claiming over 57,000 cases in NSW from conducting more than 16 million tests. The more cases they get, the more fear they generate; then more people get tested, and the more cases they get. It's a pandemic Ponzi scheme. And it creates the illusion that the virus is spreading. When in reality those false positives were always there, just waiting to be verified. It's no secret that they're driving the pandemic by pushing testing. "*The health department said a strong response to testing will be a key factor when determining if stay-at-home orders are extended beyond a week.*"

Anyone who's paying attention doesn't believe their statistics anyway. When you analyse them closely they always turn out to be misleading ... and always misleading in the same direction, with one obvious purpose; to create fear and more testing and more vaccinating. During the whole pandemic, I don't know anyone, and have never met anyone that knows anyone who has been sick with Covid. I know one person, an overweight man in his fifties, who died a few weeks after getting the vaccine.

We're still in lockdown in both Sydney and Melbourne, plus many other towns and cities around Australia. Politicians are still hell-bent on getting everyone vaccinated, with unrelenting pressure; using threats, insults, and ridicule of the so-called 'anti-vaxers'. The goal recently changed from 70% to 80% double-vaccinated to allow easing of lockdown restrictions. But only for the vaccinated. According to some Premiers, the unvaccinated won't be allowed to travel, or to participate in society by attending restaurants, concerts, or sporting events, and may not even be eligible for medical treatment.

It's obvious to most scientists why the authorities are so desperate to get everyone vaccinated. A 50% vaccination rate would be their worst nightmare. That would be a genuine 'control group' for a legitimate clinical trial. Because if it turned out that the 50% vaccinated were the ones getting sick, not the unvaccinated, their failure would be obvious. If everyone is vaccinated they can simply blame all deaths on a new variant, which of course would require more booster shots.

Australian authorities just announced that the new vaccine passports will only be valid for six months at a time. They will have to be updated with a booster shot to renew them. And the NSW premier recently admitted that even with 90% of the population double or triple vaccinated we won't be able to lift restrictions. They've also admitted that the vaccine doesn't actually stop transmission of the virus, nor does it guarantee that you won't get sick with it. But apparently if you do, it won't be as bad. A recent story on mainstream media was of a daughter whose fully-vaxed father had just died of Covid. But she had no regrets that he'd been vaccinated. Because otherwise, according to her, *'it could have been much worse'*. I'm far from heartless, but I can't read that sentence without laughing.

We're heading towards peak insanity. Mass psychosis. They're messing with everyone's minds and emotions. Anyone who's read Orwell's *1984* will recognise the technique. The nonsense is deliberate. The contradictions are deliberate. The changing of meaningless rules from one week to another is deliberate.

Why? To break people's psyche. So we can no longer think rationally, since nothing makes sense anyway. So we become passive, compliant, and easily controlled. It's dangerous. Because as French philosopher Voltaire warned many years ago: "*Those who can make you believe absurdities, can make you commit atrocities.*" A recent informal street survey conducted in an affluent Los Angeles suburb asked pedestrians to sign a petition demanding that all unvaccinated people be arrested and sent to concentration camps. About 90% signed it!

Much of the population have already turned into non-thinking, mask-wearing zombies, who would willingly walk around with their little finger in their right ear if that was the latest government decree. Others have turned violent. When the Victorian government attempted to mandate vaccines for all construction workers last week, the builders had finally had enough and took to the streets.

A few thousand of them blocked roads and bridges. Media helicopters were barred from covering the protests. Troops of heavily-armed riot police opened fire with rubber bullets and tear gas, and the builders fought back with gusto. The Victorian police commissioner – dressed in black shirt and tie – looking and sounding very much like a right-wing extremist announced at a press conference that they had identified the small group of violent protestors as right-wing extremists. The mainstream media reported that the protestors were a few hundred crazy anti-vaxers and neo-Nazi domestic terrorists.

The protests petered out by the end of the week; many of the builders probably too battered and bruised to continue. Hundreds of them had been beaten and arrested and more than a million dollars-worth of fines issued. During the following weeks gangs of helmeted Kevlar-clad thugs roamed Melbourne's streets randomly pouncing on hapless citizens, violently



wrestling them to the ground before dragging them away handcuffed, usually kicking and screaming. It's become such a dystopian nightmare, so out of sync with most Australian's image of their own country that many people pretend as though it's not actually happening.

The weekend newspapers assure their readers that only a tiny percentage of the population support the protestor's sentiments. Apparently more than 90% of Australians fully support mandatory vaccinations, vaccination passports, and laws preventing the unvaccinated from participating in society. They offer no supporting evidence of this assessment. I wonder how many readers recognise that as government propaganda, not news.

It's tempting to think that the world is lost, we're all doomed. The tyrannical, psychopathic liars and their minions have won.

But fortunately things aren't quite as they seem. There's an entirely different reality beyond mainstream media. And momentum is building.

# CHAPTER FIVE

*'Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country. We are governed, our minds are moulded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.'* Edward Bernays

## WAKING UP

At this stage it's worth explaining just what I mean by 'waking up'; and then describing my own awakening a few years ago. But first, to explain the title and theme of this book and its reference to *The Matrix*: If you're not awake, you're not seeing the truth; you're living in a simulation of reality; a fantasy created by everything you see on TV, in movies, advertisements, newspapers, magazines, and social media. Individual facts may be correct (although not always) but the narrative is carefully orchestrated to manipulate your mind into thinking what those in control want you to think, which is often the exact opposite of the truth. Once you realise this, and learn how to look for it, everything becomes so obvious it's often laughable.

My first glimpse of this was five years ago while in Oxford in the UK doing post-graduate study for a Masters in sustainable building design. I've been a passionate environmentalist my whole life, and have actively worked towards lowering CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ever since it became recognised as an issue in the late nineties. Like many others, I believed climate change was the greatest challenge facing humanity. But in Oxford, I began to realise there was something seriously wrong with academia – the supposed depository of experts that guide us through complex global issues such as climate change.

Don't get me wrong, there were some brilliant academics at the university. They could produce and analyse information and statistics of incredible complexity. But they had what I've heard described as 'a limited field of attention which made them focused on a small point of reality', and they appeared to lack what I call common sense, or practicality. Just as significantly, they often were blinded by ideology. So they didn't seem to have the imagination, ability, or willingness to question the assumptions on which they were basing their research and conclusions.

In regards to climate change, I soon realised that they were all starting from the position that CO<sub>2</sub> was a problem, because it was causing global warming. None of them ever questioned that established ‘fact’.

This made me curious, especially when I could not find one single article, journal, or book in the university library that questioned this assumption. The reason of course was that you would get no funding, no support, and nothing but ridicule if you did question it. You’d certainly have no friends in the academic world.

I was so curious about this anomaly that I ended up doing my thesis on the effect of renewable energy (specifically grid-connected wind turbines and solar panels) on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. What I discovered was quite alarming, and something no one in the world seems willing to admit. After trillions of dollars have been spent on renewables worldwide in the past thirty years, if anything, they’ve actually contributed to an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Why? Simply because they need to be backed up by large fossil-fuel-powered generators that have to remain running in the background for when the sun dips behind a cloud or the wind suddenly drops. They result in a vastly more complex and significantly less efficient electricity grid. The countries that do claim to have reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in recent years, have done so simply by switching from coal and oil to gas (as a result of fracking, particularly in the US), and because so much of their energy-intensive heavy industry has been closed down and moved to China.

After completing my studies, despite some doubts, I was still convinced that we needed to significantly reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to prevent a climate crisis. But since I’d discovered it couldn’t be achieved with grid-connected renewables, I decided to design a house that would solve this problem. It would have to be super energy efficient and have a big enough solar/battery system to last almost a week with little or no sunshine. And of course, in order to be genuinely emission-free, it had to be totally off-grid, all-electric, with no backup generator, and no wood fire, oil, or gas for heating and cooking.

I bought a small parcel of land in Tasmania – where the climate is cold enough by Australian standards to make it a real challenge – and set about

building what I called ‘The Solarhome’ prototype. I used all the latest technology for insulation, triple-glazing, solar panels, and batteries, and it took a couple of years to build and fine-tune to the point where it worked reliably. And it cost about twice as much as a standard similar-sized house. But it did work, and was warm and comfortable right through a cold Tasmanian winter. In fact it operated successfully for the next four years as a short-term holiday rental on Airbnb (check it out at [www.tamarsolarhome.com](http://www.tamarsolarhome.com)).

But of course guests wanted a wood fire for ambiance and a gas BBQ for convenience, so it was no longer strictly emission-free. And I eventually had to install a small petrol-powered generator after a fault in the solar panel connections caused the batteries to go flat. This didn't seem like a major problem at first, since it was a one-off situation and the electrician repaired the connections in a few hours. The problem was that I had to wait a week for the sun to shine to fully re-charge the batteries (it was a particularly wet mid-winter week).

A lesson was learned about solar energy. – it can't provide electricity on demand, so would never be able to power a modern house on its own, no matter how energy-efficient the house, or how large or advanced batteries and solar panels become in the future.

I had set out to prove that it was possible to build a genuinely emission-free house, thinking that if it *was* possible for a house, then people smarter than me would be able to design whole building complexes which could operate on solar power alone. But now I wasn't so sure. And I was curious to figure out just what was possible on a larger scale. Was it true that the world was heading rapidly towards electricity grids running on 100% renewable energy? That it was just an issue of governments committing enough money to install whatever amount of solar panels, wind turbines, and batteries were required?

I did some more research and was astounded by what I discovered. Now that I had a pretty good technical and practical understanding of how electrical systems work, I was knowledgeable enough to calculate what

would be required to transform a large electrical grid to 100% renewable energy.

The world's largest, latest-technology, battery had just been built in South Australia by Tesla. But it can only supply less than 3% of the state's peak demand for about one hour. And of course it doesn't generate any electricity, it only stores it.

Politicians and TV 'experts' often refer to it as a 129MW power system, which is very misleading. It's a 129MWh storage system. In other words it can provide 129MW for one hour. A 129MW fossil-fuel-powered generator can provide 129MW continuously, every hour. That's 3,096MWh every day. So despite the media and political hype, the only real function of South Australia's very expensive new battery is to even out the variable supply fed into the grid by wind and solar. Australia's national grid demand of 35,000 megawatts would require more than 5,000 battery banks equivalent to the 129MWh Tesla battery in South Australia. At an estimated cost of \$240 million each, the total cost would be \$1,260 billion. That's almost equivalent to Australia's total GDP; for batteries alone, without even considering the cost of solar panels and wind turbines capable of producing 35,000 megawatts continuously for at least eight hours a day. You'd also have to replace those batteries and most of the solar panels and wind turbines every 10 years.

Even if you reduced the battery costs to a fraction of their current price it still wouldn't be anywhere near viable. But that's not even half the problem, because you've then got to build another power system to recharge those batteries the next day (additional to the system that's powering the grid during the day), after they've been drained flat overnight. And what if the next day isn't sunny or windy?

I soon realised it simply doesn't make sense to believe that by continuing to add solar panels and wind turbines and batteries to the grid, we will eventually have a reliable, functioning, emission-free electricity supply. It's like force-feeding more hay to a packhorse in the belief that it will eventually be able to carry a thousand times its current maximum load.

So why were all the ‘experts’ on TV and in newspapers continually assuring us that this is where we were heading; if only those lousy politicians would stop subsidising fossil fuels and commit to renewables? Could they all be that wrong? Did they really have no idea what they were talking about? What were they up to? And what else could they be completely wrong about?

I became so intrigued by the whole issue I did a lot more research and eventually wrote a book (*Climate Sense: A Layman’s Guide to Climate Change*) about what I discovered. My research took me in a direction I never would have imagined. And most importantly, it woke me up to the limitations and corruption of not only academia, but also mainstream media, politicians, government institutions, and society in general. It perfectly prepared me to see what was going on with this pandemic right from the start; how a whole society could be so easily deluded into believing something that was so demonstrably untrue.

In fact the conclusions I reached five years ago about the climate change movement eerily predict our current situation. And the similarities between what I now refer to as the *Climate Cult* and the *Covid Cult*, are frighteningly real. It’s like two lethal missiles loaded in a double-barrel launch-pad aimed at the one target; humanity.

I’d be surprised if anyone with an open mind could read *Climate Sense* and not be as convinced as I am that the climate crisis is just as much an evil hoax as this pandemic. But even if you’re not, you’ll see some amazing similarities.

Both movements depict an invisible threat that is almost impossible to disprove (in the short term at least); opponents are demonized as immoral ‘deniers’; the narrative is controlled by having total control of all media; the perpetrators believe that lies, deception, and fear-mongering are acceptable weapons because when it comes to the common good, the end justifies the means; they have an almost religious belief in their cause which prevents them ever questioning their own assumptions; the ‘believers’ have a contempt for humanity which they disguise as virtue and compassion; there are a lot of people advancing careers and making money from each

movement; and their hidden agenda appears to be either massive depopulation or the complete extinction of humanity.

Before doing any serious research on climate change, like most people, I was absolutely convinced that it was a looming crisis; simply because that's what the authorities and mainstream media had said, repeatedly, for years. I believed what the TV told me. 97% of scientists agreed. The science was settled. And if you questioned that you were an ignorant fool.

The truth was I couldn't have named one legitimate climate scientist. All I'd ever listened to were pseudo scientists on TV and self-appointed experts like Al Gore in emotionally-charged documentaries showing melting ice and abandoned polar bears. I soon discovered that 97% of scientists certainly did not agree.

Sure, the climate is definitely changing, as it always has and always will. And the earth is most probably getting warmer. But only dramatically or catastrophically if we choose to believe the alarmist's computer models. The older and obviously wiser scientists say that computer modelling is not science. In fact the climate is so complex we don't even fully understand all the variables. Currently it appears to be warming at a rate of about 1°C per century. Apparently that's not unusual or unmanageable. And a warmer planet is more habitable for most forms of life, including humans, than a cooler planet. If it wasn't getting warmer, it would be getting colder, and we'd be worrying (as we did in the early seventies) about heading into another ice age.

We can be sure that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions haven't caused a dramatic rise in sea levels in the past century simply because they haven't. You only need to check the tide charts to figure that out. Or just look at old photos of Sydney Harbour. The shoreline looked almost exactly the same 100 years ago. If you don't trust that then check the erosion on the thousand-year-old sandstone foreshore. The world has actually doubled its CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the past 30 years but there's been no corresponding rise in sea levels. That's pretty solid scientific evidence that there is little or no connection between the two events.

Then what's caused all the panic?

Why do so many people act as though they really *want* there to be a climate crisis?

The fact is, the climate change movement perfectly fits the narrative of a socialist/atheist belief system; a belief that modern scientists (no matter how badly corrupted or ideologically driven) can understand and ultimately control everything. And if something doesn't suit us, the government should do something about it. It's an unwavering belief that humans (scientists and governments) can fix everything.

But in reality, we appear to have almost completely lost (or were never allowed to develop) our ability to think rationally and critically. Instead, we are easily led by our feelings and an overwhelming desire to be – or at least appear to be – virtuous. According to many modern philosophers and psychologists, this is exactly what has occurred through our modern education system, which has been dominated by a postmodernist theology since the nineteen fifties.

Perhaps we need to acknowledge that the old religions we've recently discarded – which promoted faith, service, and gratitude as the core values of a meaningful life – led to a happier, more honest, better functioning society than the apparently dysfunctional society we seem to be heading towards. Where instead of gratitude for what we have and what we've achieved, we've been taught to look for what's wrong and demand that the government do something about it. A society of easily-led 'social justice warriors' full of self-declared virtue and indignation fuelling our need for meaning; with a lifetime of mental health issues lurking in our fragile future.

The last great environmental scare was perpetrated by Paul Ehrlich's book *The Population Bomb*, published in 1968, which confidently declared: "*The battle to feed all of humanity is over. In the 1970s hundreds of millions of people will starve to death in spite of any crash programs embarked upon now... conscious regulation of human numbers must be achieved.*" A suggested solution to this impending crisis included mass sterilization programs. It was a movement that never really caught on, for



many reasons. Including perhaps that there was no money to be made from it, and it was difficult to portray yourself as virtuous by promoting such an obviously anti-humanist ideology. Of course it turned out to be spectacularly wrong.

Although the world's population has continued to increase, the latest research, as pointed out in Oxford Professor Danny Dorling's (2013) book *Population 10 Billion*, indicates that the rate of increase is rapidly declining and the world's population will most likely level off at ten billion before slowly declining. And perhaps the most surprising thing is that we need do nothing to make this happen apart from what we humans tend to do quite naturally; constantly striving to raise our standard of living. Recent history demonstrates that as each country develops economically, living conditions rise, poverty declines, education levels increase, women's participation in the workforce increases, and birth rates drop spectacularly to less than replacement level within one generation.

With the current doomsday predictions of human-induced climate change, we've now got an anti-humanist movement disguised as an environmental movement that not only can people make a lot of money from, and build a career out of, they can also appear virtuous at the same time, being able to claim they're 'saving the planet'.

However, when the basis of a belief turns out to be completely false (the '97% of scientists agree' mantra), you really have to question not only the validity of the belief, but also the intentions and integrity of those advocating it. While those who think that the end justifies the means – perhaps a little exaggeration here and there to frighten people into action – history has shown us that in most cases the means are the end. The means, or method, are a sure indicator of people's true beliefs and virtue.

When you question a hard-core environmentalist, you generally uncover an anti-humanist attitude, often driven by nothing more than envy of those who are wealthier and more accomplished. Which is understandable. If you've convinced yourself that CO<sub>2</sub> is going to destroy the planet, how can you possibly not believe that seven billion increasingly wealthy, constantly-

consuming, CO<sub>2</sub>-emitting humans are undoubtedly its main threat. It's a dangerously nihilistic belief.

While a compliant media deceptively portrays this as a battle between 'science believers' and 'science deniers', it's really a battle between fashionable pseudoscience and real science, between utopian socialism and pragmatic capitalism, and between those who believe that man is the master of the universe and those who accept that perhaps the universe itself (or God, if you're religious) is the master of the universe. It's also a battle between those who believe that a better world will be created by focusing on redistribution of wealth, and others who believe it's better to focus on creating wealth. As leading climate scientist Dr Richard Lindzen points out, the inevitable result of climate change action is generally a transfer of wealth from the poor of wealthy countries, to the wealthy of poor countries. Whereas history has demonstrated that democratic countries which have focused on the creation of wealth have always ended up with a greater proportion of its citizens living a higher standard of living than countries focused on wealth distribution, which nearly always fail.

Perhaps the most insidious thing about the climate change movement is that somehow they've managed – with plenty of help from mainstream media and virtue-signalling celebrities and politicians – to frame the situation so that if you don't believe that the majority of your fellow human beings are destroying the planet by carelessly burning fossil fuels, then you must be an ignorant, immoral fool who shouldn't even be allowed to express an opinion, no matter how qualified or well informed you may be.

And this is where many modern environmentalists need to be called out for what they are. Living a life where virtually every single aspect of their existence is dependent on and provided by a fossil-fuel-powered capitalist industrial complex, while complaining about and denigrating the intelligence and morality of the people who are burdened with the task of making it all work, is surely the height of ignorance and hypocrisy. Inner city Greens protest and mock the 'deplorables' who build the houses, smartphones, coffee machines, and bicycles they can't live without; while living a life of relative luxury. And yes, we are living a life of luxury if we don't have to labour all day on a hot building site, or in a factory, or down a

mine shaft, or out in the wind and rain in the middle of the night working to keep the power on.

Only someone who knows nothing about how things are made, and how the world works, would believe they could live without things made, transported, processed, grown, or packaged using some sort of fossil fuel. Because if the unattainable goal of eliminating the use of fossil fuels was actually achieved, civilization and humanity would cease to exist in a matter of years. Food production and distribution would virtually stop overnight and billions would starve, forests would soon be destroyed for fuel and heating, and nothing could be built or repaired. We would literally return to the Stone Age. Humanity *and* the planet would suffer.

It's going to be embarrassingly difficult for some scientists and many politicians and public intellectuals to back away from this with some dignity. They have staked their whole reputation and career on a simplistic hypothesis that was widely accepted and adopted before being adequately tested, and too many people desperately wanted to be true for reasons that have nothing to do with the environment.

All our lives we've believed these people in authority. They told us that just by living a normal life – consuming, driving, watching TV, flying, eating, defecating, even breathing – we're helping to destroy the planet by emitting carbon dioxide. That's a hell of a burden. And it's not true.

It's naïve to not at least consider that those trying to make us feel scared, guilty, and helpless may actually be trying to manipulate us. There's obviously a political/ideological movement that is deliberately using misinformation to keep people in a constant state of confusion, guilt, fear, outrage, and helplessness. It's a movement that wants to manipulate and socially engineer people, with a never-ending cascade of laws controlling our every word and action. Those of us who are fundamentally decent and compassionate, with a strong desire to be virtuous, are easily led by the deceptions of this type of movement.

It may seem, at first, a bit over-the-top to describe the climate change movement as a dangerous belief system that could easily morph into a truly evil totalitarian political movement. But I really do think it could be that serious. A core belief of many people at the moment is that there are simply too many of us, and we are destroying the planet. It usually goes unsaid that – perversely – they believe humanity can only be saved by a massive reduction in population and our immoral consumptive way of living. In other words, we have to wipe out a lot of humanity and drastically lower our standard of living in order to save humanity, or more importantly, the planet.

What they really believe, apparently, is that humanity is a cancer, or plague, on the Earth, which would be a lot better off without us – a view openly expressed by respected celebrity environmentalists such as David Attenborough and parroted by clueless kids at Extinction Rebellion rallies. According to Canadian psychologist Jordan Peterson; *“You can’t utter a more genocidal phrase than that ... and of course you do it in a display of your care for the world... There’s a hatred for humanity at the bottom of it.”*

One young Extinction Rebellion protester I talked to recently assured me with an eerie mixture of anger, glee, and absolute certainty that the Earth would soon *“rid itself of humans like a dog shaking off its fleas”*.

Which sent a shiver down my spine.

To sum things up. Carbon dioxide is not a pollutant. It’s a colourless, odourless gas which is an important plant food. It’s often pumped into greenhouses to generate extra growth. The increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> last century was partly responsible for a general greening of the planet and an increase in agricultural production. And there are many more significant sources of CO<sub>2</sub> other than fossil fuels.

Evidence that the earth is warming is not evidence that the warming is caused by burning fossil fuels. Thirty years ago the CO<sub>2</sub>-driven global warming theory was a theory worth investigating, but it turned out to be a

massive oversimplification of a very complex system we barely understand, let alone control. In fact it's quite clear that burning fossil fuels in the past hundred years has had no significant influence on the global climate or sea levels.

And finally, the climate change movement is a Marxist political movement supported by a predominantly well-intentioned but naive and scientifically ignorant portion of the world's population. It is not an environmental movement. Its most avid proponents know nothing about science and care little about the environment; even less about people. Their end game is not to 'save the planet', it's to destroy humanity.

So the good news is that the planet will be just fine. Especially if we acknowledge the truth and ignore the distractions of the climate change movement and their obsession with trying to reduce emissions of a harmless gas. We can return to the sort of real environmentalism that existed well before the advent of climate alarmism; focusing instead on eliminating dangerous pollutants, effective waste disposal, protecting natural ecosystems, and revegetating as much of the planet as possible.

# CHAPTER SIX

*'The real meaning of enlightenment is to gaze with undimmed eyes on all darkness.'* - Nikos Kazantzakis

## THE GREAT AWAKENING

Like everything in this book, what I've written in this chapter are not the views of an expert, just those of a curious layman expressed as simply as possible. The things I write about here are only the tip of a hugely complex iceberg, and this is how I see things after just a couple of year's research.

My research method is to listen – with an open mind, without judgement – to all sides of an argument. Then observe what people do, not what they say they'll do; and wait to see what happens, and what direction things go. Credibility either builds or it slowly dissipates over time.

Like the old saying goes, you can usually tell the health of a tree by the quality of the fruit it bears. So it takes time for the truth to reveal itself. And that's why I think right now it's so important to be fully awake, super vigilant, and really patient, as things gradually unfold as they should.

From what I can figure out, to some extent this great awakening began in 2006 when Australian computer hacker turned publisher Julian Assange founded WikiLeaks, a website where whistleblowers could securely post information anonymously. There had never before been a platform like this for such information. Over the next fifteen years WikiLeaks published millions of documents exposing the inner workings of government agencies, the military, private corporations, politicians, royalty, and many of the world's rich and famous.

Then in 2013 things really kicked off when Edward Snowden, a former computer intelligence consultant leaked highly classified information from the National Security Agency (NSA) when he was an employee and subcontractor for the CIA.

This woke up many people to the fact that it was possible to record every single text message, email, phone call, and internet search of everyone in the entire world; including being able to spy on people by remotely

activating the cameras on their laptops, smart phones, devices such as Alexa, and even their TV screens, whether they were switched on or not. And the NSA had been doing just that for years.

Not many of us took much notice of this at the time, but its significance shouldn't be underestimated. When combined with social media and video platforms like YouTube, it meant that pretty soon there was a record on the internet of absolutely everything that had happened in the last twenty years; including everything that everyone had ever said and done. And anyone who knew how to hack their way around the web's dark corners – and had the time and incentive to do so – could find out what everyone (including the people who virtually run the world) had been doing, and how they were doing it.

Intelligence agencies like the NSA obviously thought they were pretty smart when they started spying on everyone. What they hadn't foreseen was that now everyone could spy on them. So everything they were up to began leaking out as well. This is such a significant turn of events. It's the first time in human history that common people have had the opportunity to see what the ruling elite and their huge network of spies and cohorts are up to, and what really is going on in the world.

But who could possibly have the time, computer skills, curiosity, and autistic-like personality to even want to wade their way through millions of documents, emails, Facebook pages, and Instagram accounts just out of interest? It certainly wouldn't be modern journalists; who these days tend to be ideologically-driven and have neither the time nor inclination to do any genuine investigative journalism. And they're not going to do anything that would ostracise them from their colleagues and mainstream employers anyway.

The answer of course is what most of us call 'computer nerds'; more often than not just young, under-employed geeks in their mum's basement. But sometimes they're professional computer scientists, military veterans, retired secret service agents, or even just bored housewives with a sharp mind. They're not necessarily formally educated, although often highly self-educated. And because they haven't been corralled into thinking the

way everyone else does, and have open, inquiring minds, they think outside the box. Plus they don't have the constraints of wanting to be seen as politically correct.

They do an enormous amount of research, and sometimes connect too many random dots and head down crazy rabbit holes. But recently some of the things they've been talking about for years have started becoming reality at an alarming rate. The so called 'conspiracy theories' – which are always ridiculed and dismissed by mainstream media and their 'fact checkers' – keep turning out to be conspiracy *fact*, not theory. What mainstream media won't tell you is that the term 'conspiracy theory' was apparently invented by the FBI in the sixties to stifle any questioning of their official explanation of the JFK assassination.

Of course there were other conspiracy theorists around years before WikiLeaks. Journalists and professional broadcasters like David Icke and Alex Jones, and Australian philosopher Max Igan – who generally refer to themselves as 'conspiracy researchers' – have been banned and ridiculed by mainstream media for years. They dedicated their lives to doing an enormous amount of in-depth research and obviously didn't care less if people thought they were crazy. Their theories were so outrageous it was hard to take them seriously. But so much of what they've been saying has come true in the last few years they're now widely revered as prophets.

And then along came Q.

If all you know about Q is from mainstream media, then you'll know that you have to ridicule what they call 'QAnons'; a dangerous cult of domestic terrorists who believe in all sorts of crazy conspiracy theories. But to use an analogy, criticising QAnons is like criticising the Collingwood Cheer Squad members thinking they represent the Collingwood football team. The fact that half the cheer squad couldn't run the length of an oval, and generally have more tattoos than teeth, has nothing to do with the physical fitness and professionalism of one of the most successful sporting teams in Australia.

Having a small team of trusted military intelligence officers with Q-level security is a presidential tradition that goes back at least as far as JFK. Any



American president who had reason to distrust the agencies and bureaucrats he's forced to work with, has a good reason to need a Q team. As it turned out, JFK certainly did. But the Q that started publicly posting information on a secure channel in October 2017 had a much broader agenda. Trump had already figured out he could use social media to bypass an unsupportive mainstream media, but he used Twitter predominantly for simple, direct messages to the general public. And of course for trolling his opponents. He really knew how to push their buttons and obviously enjoyed doing so.

But Q was aiming for a much more engaged audience, and the channel it was on allowed people to anonymously post information and ask questions without anyone knowing their identity. In this way it became a sort of 'WikiLeaks' with participation and feedback. And it really engaged those people willing to do some research; especially the so-called conspiracy theorists. According to former National Security Advisor General Flynn, this became the 'digital army', and its mission was to research, understand, and disseminate the things they discovered when prompted by Q to do specific research on certain people or events. Many people believe Flynn may have been part of the Q team.

It's easy to tell that virtually all the mainstream media criticisms of Q are written by people who have never actually read a Q post, which are usually quite complex, cryptic, and full of military and intelligence-agency jargon. Q posts are obviously written by a team of political and intelligence insiders with a close connection to the president. The only way to understand them properly was by following a Q 'interpreter' on one of the video platforms. Mainstream media always just focus on the most feral Trump supporters which they call QAnons.

Because it was accessible to anyone, obviously Trump's enemies would have been following Q as well. And this is what confused a lot of people and gave easy ammunition to those who wanted to prove that Q was just a hoax with no credibility. Q was constantly trolling Trump's opponents, and it was often giving out false information, especially in regards to timelines. So Q might claim that someone was going to be arrested on a certain date. The aim, obviously, was to cause them to panic and incriminate themselves. Q also made predictions of false flag attacks that never

eventuated. Obviously the prediction itself would have prevented the attack from going ahead. But much of the information given out by Q has turned out to be correct.

Depending on how well-informed you are, you'll know by now that Trump's supposed collusion with Russians did turn out to be a fake story, setup by mainstream media colluding with intelligence agencies which were spying on Trump, fabricating evidence, and then leaking it back to media outlets, who must have known what was going on. The operation was partially funded by Hillary Clinton, his political opponent heading into the 2016 election.

There did turn out to be an island where many of the world's elite, including Prince Andrew and Bill Clinton, have been visiting for years to enjoy sex (and possibly worse) with children while being secretly filmed so they would forever be compromised. It was owned by Jeffery Epstein, a mysterious socialite/billionaire with no obvious source of income, who conveniently died mysteriously in prison before he could testify. He was aged 66.

Epstein also had an active interest in eugenics and transhumanism, and was planning to breed his offspring with up to twenty women at a time at his New Mexico ranch. His partner in crime was the daughter of infamous English media magnate and not-so-secret Mossad agent Robert Maxwell (who was given a lavish state funeral in Israel after he died mysteriously in 1991). Epstein was apparently funded by Mossad, working in conjunction with other intelligence agencies, which have always used what they call 'honey pots' to control the world's elite. Ghislaine Maxwell is currently in jail in the US and is expected to die mysteriously before testifying.

There did turn out to be at least one laptop computer owned by Joe Biden's son Hunter which contains evidence that he not only had a serious crack cocaine and sex-with-underage-girl habit, but also regularly did billion-dollar business deals with foreign companies and gave a percentage to his – at the time – vice-presidential father. And no it wasn't Russian disinformation, which the group of more than fifty former senior intelligence officials would have known when they declared so just before

the 2020 election. Mainstream media played their part in the election-changing cover-up by banning any mention of the laptop and its contents until well after the election.

It did turn out that not only was the Covid-19 virus developed in a lab in Wuhan (which again, was censored as misinformation by mainstream media until recently), but Dr Fauci helped fund its development and financially benefits from the vaccine. And it's now widely acknowledged by many doctors that there are a variety of cheap generic therapeutic drugs that were known to be effective in early treatment of Covid-19, yet were actively suppressed in order to allow the vaccine to be granted emergency use approval by the FDA. This suppression, enthusiastically supported by mainstream media, probably cost hundreds of thousands of lives.

All the above were known by conspiracy researchers and Q followers well before they eventually became widely accepted as fact, although they're still often unacknowledged by mainstream media. Three years ago they seemed like ridiculous and highly-unlikely conspiracy theories. But by acknowledging that these things did actually happen, we now know for a fact that many of our politicians, law-enforcement officers, judges, government bureaucrats, journalists, medical professionals, and many of the 'elite' of society we have always trusted and even admired are immoral psychopaths who will do absolutely anything to maintain their positions of power and privilege.

It would be a huge mistake to underestimate the significance of what we already know about the people who rule our world. And what we've seen so far may only be the tip of the iceberg.

There are many conspiracy theories which a few years ago I wouldn't have even bothered listening to. I'm not saying I believe any of them, but in the last couple of years, so many outrageous things have turned out to be true, and the dystopian nightmare we're currently experiencing would have seemed so unlikely, that they all need to be considered possible and properly investigated. Some are backed up by a mountain of apparently credible evidence.

The following conspiracy theories, if true, would have an enormous influence on our understanding of how the world works and the type of people who are ruling our lives and determining our destiny. I put them in italics because they're not my own thoughts or opinions, I'm simply summarising information that anyone can find online.

*1. All terrorist attacks and mass shootings of recent years have been deliberately orchestrated, but not by religious fanatics, terrorists, or crazed lone gunmen. They were false flag attacks organised by intelligence agencies to justify war, increased surveillance, and gun control legislation. The 'terrorist' attack on 9/11 was actually a highly-sophisticated Mossad operation facilitated by top US politicians and their intelligence agencies, which is the only way it would be possible to penetrate the world's most protected air space. With just a minimal amount of research it soon becomes laughable to believe that the attacks were carried out by a bunch of poorly-trained fanatics coordinated by a guy in a cave on the other side of the world. The purpose of the attacks was to justify war in the Middle East. Obviously. Detailed plans were already written, and US troops were already in place.*

*2. The CIA control and operate most of the world's illegal drug trafficking and instigate many, if not all, of the wars, revolutions, and insurgencies in various parts of the world. They've had a significant subversive influence on world politics for at least the past sixty years.*

*3. The JFK assassination was a CIA operation. He knew what they were up to and had threatened to dismantle their organisation. George Bush senior was part of the assassination team in Dallas and later become CIA Director before becoming vice president to Ronald Regan, who was shot but not killed soon after becoming president. Bush senior is considered by most conspiracy researchers to be the godfather of American organized crime.*

*4. The world is awash with sophisticated and highly lucrative networks trafficking in children, sex slaves, pornography, paedophilia, body parts from aborted babies, and organs harvested from live prisoners. We certainly know some of that is true. But conspiracy researchers believe the scale of it will shock us all.*

5. *There's a highly addictive, youth-enhancing drug called adrenochrome which can only be made from the adrenal-charged blood of terrorized children. It's widely used by Hollywood and other elites, and is currently being produced on an industrial scale. It's worth noting that the Bible almost obsessively warns against the drinking of sacrificial blood. And the rich and famous have always had the ability to shut from their minds any thought of how many lives have been taken or ruined in order to supply them with the cocaine they snort at their lavish parties.*

6. *Many of the world's elite are practicing Satanists who regularly take part in elaborate rituals involving child torture, sacrifice, and blood drinking. It's known that these sorts of rituals existed in many civilizations throughout history, and we can't be absolutely certain they were discontinued. The movie Eyes Wide Shut possibly revealed that this practice still exists, but much of the original footage was cut from the final movie and Stanley Kubrick, the writer and director died mysteriously 6 days (there's that number again) after its release. There's an organisation of people claiming to be victims of what they call Satanic Ritual Abuse (SRA). Many interviews of apparently quite sane victims can be viewed online. And a large rally of SRA survivors was held in London's Hyde Park in June 2021. They claim that no one has ever believed them simply because their stories are so unbelievable.*

7. *The authorities, health industry, and food industry have been slowly but deliberately poisoning us for years; by approving and promoting dangerous drugs, allowing toxic chemicals into our food and water supplies, deliberately poisoning the atmosphere with chemicals, and actively suppressing cheap generic drugs and other remedies which are actually effective in treating many modern diseases such as cancer. Their goal: population control and financial profit. Most alternative health professionals have always believed this anyway.*

Like I said, I don't necessarily believe any of these conspiracy theories. They expose things that most of us don't even want to think about. But we need to have them openly investigated; not ridiculed, censored, and hidden away in dark corners of the internet where they fester in people's minds like

a malignant cancer. And no one should obsessively wallow in their decadence.

But there are millions of people who *do* believe these ugly conspiracies, so they need to be legitimately and comprehensively invalidated. Or not. Because if only a tiny fraction of them turn out to be even partially true, humanity has seriously gone off the rails. And we need to think deeply about what sort of world we want to live in, and make sure that we, as humans, never allow ourselves to sink to such depravity again.

Acknowledging the truth and accepting that what we've allowed to happen is wrong will be our *Great Awakening*.

# CHAPTER SEVEN

*It's always darkest before the dawn*

## THE TIDE IS TURNING

To those of us who are awake, the authorities and their media mouthpieces are looking and sounding more and more absurd every day. Their desperation is palpable. Their anger transparent. Their lies more blatant. And it's becoming so obvious that even the reporters are finding it hard to ignore. *"While Ms Berejiklian boasted of enthusiastic vaccine recipients, there were very few people around. But embarrassingly for Ms Berejiklian, there was no queue in sight with just the odd person entering the facility. 'Premier, where is everybody?' one reporter asked. Ms Berejiklian told reporters vaccine rates at the centre have been surging and people would have to 'take my word' on that."*

Trust me, she's lying. Again.

Four days later she resigns as NSW Premier after the ICAC corruption watchdog said it was investigating whether she was involved in conduct that *"constituted or involved a breach of public trust"*. Two weeks earlier an independent federal politician had declared at a press conference that he had information he was prepared to pass on to the police that *"she's being directed by lobbyists in Sydney who are being paid by AstraZeneca, and by Pfizer, tens of millions of dollars to get these policies through, to make sure the vaccine is pushed."* Of course the mainstream media ridiculed him and never reported the story. After she resigns they spin the story that 'poor Gladys' was only guilty of misjudgement of character because her ex-boyfriend was caught organizing illicit deals for Chinese property developers. They're lying again.

The truth is that they keep endlessly extending these lockdowns because people *aren't* getting vaccinated. People are slowly waking up. And once they realise their trusted authorities have been lying to them, the floodgates will open, and they'll start to question *everything* they've been told. That's how *the great awakening* begins.

Every day more information that disproves the official narrative is released. A report about India's response states that; *'With only 5% of Uttar Pradesh's 230 million people vaccinated, early-treatment home kits wiped out an outbreak of Covid-19, dropping cases by 99% in 3 weeks. The home kits contain Tylenol, vitamin C, multivitamin, zinc, vitamin D3, Ivermectin, and Doxycycline. They cost less than \$3 per person. In comparison, one course of Remdesivir costs \$3,100 and is the standard care in many US hospital systems, even though the WHO has recommended against its use and meta-analysis studies have shown that it is ineffective against Covid-19.'*

Mainstream media continues to completely ignore such stories because they completely destroy their vaccine narrative.

A leaked US Department of Defence document states; *'Within a cohort of 5.6 million Medicare beneficiaries aged 65 and older who received two doses of the COVID-19 vaccines (2.7 million Pfizer and 2.9 million Moderna), there was still a cumulative breakthrough rate (i.e., vaccine failure rate) of 2.9%, along with a 21% hospitalization rate in "breakthrough infections." Among breakthrough hospitalizations, 31% required ICU care, and there was a 4% death rate overall among "breakthrough infections." This data clearly proves that the official narrative claiming the vaccines are unequivocally "effective," with no questions allowed to be asked, is patently false.'*

2.9% of those vaccinated getting infected with Covid might not seem that high, until you realise it's considerably higher than the percentage of unvaccinated people who've caught Covid during this pandemic. In Australia, that would be about 0.3% of those tested. In other words, you've got about ten times more chance of testing positive for Covid if you've been fully vaccinated.

Despite all the evidence that indicates the exact opposite, the authorities keep trying to push the illogical narrative that somehow the unvaccinated are spreading Covid to the vaccinated – what they're calling a 'pandemic of the unvaccinated'. Of course there are plenty who actually believe that, but many aren't. As one woman at a recent public inquiry stated, almost



comically; *‘Why do the protected need to be protected from the unprotected by forcing the unprotected to use the protection that didn’t protect the protected in the first place?’*

Despite their continued control over (and support from) mainstream media, the authorities are losing control of the narrative. There are millions more people following alternative media channels online than are watching mainstream media. And as much as the authorities try to censor these outlets, the more they get caught up in a losing game of whack-a-mole, as online channels keep popping up through sheer weight of numbers, and support, and credibility. As I said at the beginning of this book, the truth always resonates because it satisfies our inherent desire for common sense. Lies never do.

Their house of cards will collapse because it’s built on a foundation of fear, ignorance, lies, hubris, corruption, and an unquenchable thirst for power. And it’s driven by a spiritual force that engenders a hatred for humanity.

It may collapse in two months, two years, or even five years. But it will collapse. Because the more it goes on, the more the authorities expose themselves as what they are, what they believe, and what they worship. And once they’ve been fed enough rope they’ll inevitably hang themselves.

So there *will* be a ‘great reset’, but not the one planned by the globalists. It will be based on transparency, truth, and decency; not lies, deception, and perversion. It will promote humanism not transhumanism, freedom not submission, choice not compliance, creativity not conformity, humility not hubris, gratitude not entitlement, self-reliance not state-dependence, faith not fear, and life not death.

Our spectacular, beautiful, wonderful world; inhabited by a vast majority of decent, peace-loving, compassionate people, is currently (in the famous words of Martin Luther King) *‘sweltering with the heat of oppression’*. We can all share his dream that it will soon *‘be transformed into an oasis of freedom and justice’*. But it won’t happen unless we wake up and acknowledge the truth.

Obviously this book isn't only about health, but since medical tyranny has instigated this great awakening, and I'm an advocate of creative thinking, it's probably appropriate to finish off with a quote from a doctor with an alternative view of illness. It may or may not be right. But the important thing is to keep learning by having an open mind so we don't get fixated on stagnant ideas and beliefs that will never produce the beneficial results we were hoping for.

*Illness is not contagious.*

*There is nothing to be "immune" from.*

*There are no viruses, no pathogenic, contagious, self-replicating micro-monsters that cause illness, disease, or death.*

*Bacteria do not cause disease – dis-ease activates bacteria, as the clean-up crew.*

*All medicines – natural or man-made (chemical)--are perceived by the body as an assault.*

*The body heals itself in spite of medicines and treatments, and not because of them.*

*All illness and disease is caused by one or more of the following: Diet (bad food, eating too often, medicines, vaccines, vices), environment (chemicals (like cleaning products, insecticides, weed killers, moulds, dust, fumes), psychological attack (stress, fear, anxiety, worry, self-loathing, etc).*

*We poison ourselves by a variety of means that our bodies can usually deal with. When the body needs to detoxify, it produces symptoms as a means of elimination and purging; and we usually have the good sense to go to bed and sleep as much as possible – thus removing ourselves from poisoning ourselves with diet, vices, toxic thoughts, and toxic relationships.*

*But no one can live a strictly poison-free life, so as a natural course of living, we poison ourselves and then detoxify; then recover and poison ourselves again.*

Amen